We report here a Rh(I)-catalyzed [4 + 3] cycloaddition of Z-diene-vinylcyclopropanes (Z-diene-VCPs) to construct challenging 5/7-fused bicyclic rings with a bridgehead all-carbon quaternary center. Detailed quantum chemical calculations and deuterium labeling experiments revealed that an unprecedented endo-oxidative cyclometallation (OCM) between the diene and vinyl group in VCP was involved in this [4 + 3] reaction. An understanding of why endo-OCM occurs instead of the traditional exo-OCM, and factors affecting such selectivity, are provided, which is important for designing new endo-OCM embedded reactions catalyzed by transition metals.
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**SUMMARY**

The traditional exo-oxidative cyclometallation (exo-OCM) is a key step in transition-metal-catalyzed reactions. Here, for the first time, we propose an endo-oxidative cyclometallation (endo-OCM) reaction as a new reaction mode. Realization of endo-OCM will add a new concept to chemistry and help the future design of endo-[m + n], [m + n + o] cycloadditions and other reactions. We report here that endo-OCM exists in a newly developed Rh(I)-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 3] cycloaddition of Z-diene-vinylcyclopropanes (Z-diene-VCPs), which provide an efficient way to access challenging 5/7-fused bicyclic skeletons with a bridgehead ethyl substituent. In this [4 + 3] reaction, the Z-diene serves as a 4-carbon synthon, while the VCP acts as an unprecedented 3-carbon synthon (two carbon from the vinyl group and one carbon from the cyclopropyl group). Quantum chemical calculations have been carried out to analyze factors affecting the competition of exo- and endo-OCMs, and to understand why [3 + 2] and expected [4 + 3] reactions of Z-diene-VCPs do not happen.

**INTRODUCTION**

Transition-metal-catalyzed [m + n], [m + n + o] (m, n, and o are referred to the carbon synthons such as CO, carbenes, alkenes, alkynes, dienes, vinylcyclopropanes [VCPs], etc.) cycloadditions for the synthesis of carbocycles, have been becoming powerful tools for chemists.1–9 Figure 1 shows the paradigmatic mechanisms for the traditional [m + n] and [m + n + o] reactions. These reactions usually start from exo-oxidative cycloaddition (exo-OCM) to form the fused metallacycles with metal in the exo part of the formed bicyclic metallacycles, MC-I, which can undergo reductive elimination to give [m + n] cycloadducts. If a new component, o, is introduced, then the insertion of this component into the metal–carbon bond in MC-I, followed by reductive elimination, can give traditional [m + n + o] products. We labeled the OCM in the traditional cycloadditions as the exo-OCM because there is another OCM, referred to here as endo-OCM, which could also be involved in the [m + n] cycloadditions (Figure 1A). Endo-OCM produces MC-II metallacycles (where a similar intermediate from the insertion reaction of diene to M–C bond was reported10) with metal in the endo position of the formed bicyclic metallacycles, which can deliver the same [m + n] cycloadducts as the traditional cycloadditions, invoking exo-OCM processes. But [m + n + o] cycloadditions involving endo-OCM would give bridged [m + n + o] cycloadducts (Figure 1A). Therefore, two cycloadditions, endo- and exo-[m + n + o] cycloadditions, are named to differentiate them (Figure 1A).

To the best of our knowledge, endo-OCM has never been proposed or considered in literature, and the endo-[m + n + o] cycloadditions have not been reported either.11
Understanding whether endo-OCM can occur or not, and the reasons behind this, is important for advancing new concepts and chemistry. Discovery of such OCM in reactions would also be significant, considering that endo-[m + n + o] cycloadditions for bridged cycloadducts could then possibly be designed and realized in the future, and many new skeletons from these reactions would then greatly expand the chemical space of functional molecules. Here, we report the discovery of this endo-OCM in our journey to develop and understand a [4 + 3] reaction of Z-diene-VCPs, which is also presented in this paper (Figure 1D). We have also carried out detailed computational analyses of the factors affecting the competition of exo- and endo-OCM processes, giving guidance for the future design of endo-OCM in the reaction design.

Here, we give a brief background of why and how we developed the present [4 + 3] reaction of Z-diene-VCPs, which provides a new way to access challenging 5/7-fused bicyclic systems with a bridgehead ethyl substituent (Figure 1). VCPs, without activating functional groups, have versatile cycloaddition chemistry to build various mono-, bi-, and poly-cyclic ring systems. In these reactions, VCPs act as either a 5C or 3C synthon. For example, Wender pioneered [5 + 2] reactions of VCPs with various 2π components. In 2010, our group reported an intramolecular [3 + 2] cycloaddition of 1-ene/yne-VCPs in which VCPs use their cyclopropanes as a 3C synthon and vinyl groups as an indispensable speculator group (Figure 1B). Several other reactions using VCPs as a 3C synthon have also been developed by us. Based on the [3 + 2] reaction shown in Figure 1B, we were curious to know whether the 2π component in the [3 + 2] reaction could be replaced by a 4π component (such as a diene) so that the corresponding diene-VCPs could give [4 + 3] products, not
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[3 + 2] products (Figures 1C and 1D). Our first test of this idea shown in Figure 1C did not succeed because substrates of E-diene-VCPs underwent [3 + 2] cycloaddition as the major event, together with a cycloisomerization reaction as the side reaction. We further hypothesized that if the 4π component is a Z-diene with a R substituent within, then the corresponding substrates, Z-diene-VCPs, could produce the expected [4 + 3] reaction because the Z configuration could disfavor the competing [3 + 2] reaction which, at the same time, could also suffer generating a quaternary carbon (Figure 1D). But to our surprise, this reaction gave a 5/7-fused bicyclic ring compound with a bridgehead all-carbon quaternary center (an ethyl rather than a vinyl group in the bridgehead position), and we named this a [4 + 3] reaction, where the vinyl group and a carbon of the cyclopropane acts as a 3C synthon (details will be presented in the following section). This [4 + 3] reaction has good scope and is disclosed in this paper. We then used experimental study and computational calculations to understand why this [4 + 3] reaction, but not the [3 + 2] and expected [4 + 3] reactions, happens, which led us to propose and discover a new mechanism involving endo-OCM.

Therefore, in what follows, we will present the whole story of developing the [3 + 2] reaction of E-diene-VCPs, designing the [4 + 3] reaction and exploring its reaction scope, studying the mechanism of this [4 + 3] reaction, analyzing the competition of exo- and endo-OCM processes, and testing experimentally the new theoretical predictions derived from the mechanistic studies here.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

[3 + 2] cycloaddition of E-diene-VCPs

We initially tested the desired [4 + 3] reaction using E-diene-VCP substrate 1a, finding that no expected [4 + 3] cycloaddition product, but [3 + 2] product together with some side product from β-H elimination, was obtained. After screening reaction conditions (see the supplemental information for details), [Rh(PPh₃)₃]SbF₆ was found to be a good catalyst for this transformation. Several other substrates were then studied to get more information of this [3 + 2] reaction (Figure 2). Substrates with a substituent, such as a methyl or an ethyl group, on the position 2 of diene gave good yields together with high selectivity. For substrate 1c with an n-propyl group, both 2c and 3c were isolated, with a combined yield of 77%, although low selectivity was observed. Substrate 1d with an isopropyl group mainly gave 3d, with 2d as a minor byproduct (the ratio 2d:3d was 1:8). Oxygen-tethered substrate 1e can also undergo [3 + 2] cycloaddition with low selectivity and a moderate combined reaction yield. The mechanism of this [3 + 2] reaction, and an understanding of why and how product 3 was generated, are provided in the supplemental information. This reaction has limited synthetic value except for 1a and 1b because 1c–1e gave inseparable mixtures.

[4 + 3] cycloaddition of Z-diene-VCPs

In order to inhibit [3 + 2] reaction, we tried to use substrates with a substituent in the internal alkene part of the Z-diene moiety, namely substrates 4. In this case, [3 + 2] cycloaddition may be disfavored because it would potentially form a challenging quaternary carbon center in the final products (see Figure 7 for the pathway to trans-2). Due to this, the new substrate may have a greater chance to deliver the expected [4 + 3] product. Another design to help the expected [4 + 3] is using Z-dienes, hoping that the geometrical change could also disfavor the [3 + 2] reaction.

We synthesized 4a with a Z-diene and tested its cycloaddition reaction (Figure 3). Under the catalysis of cationic Rh(PPh₃)₃SbF₆ in DCE at 80°C, the reaction of 4a
gave complex mixture (entry 1). We also found that [Rh(COE)2Cl]2, [Rh(COD)Cl]2 and Wilkinson’s catalyst could not catalyze the reaction (entries 2–4). Surprisingly, when [Rh(CO)2Cl]2 was used as a catalyst in DCE, an unexpected product 6a with an ethyl group in the bridgehead position was isolated in 60% recovery yield, and the designed [4 + 3] product 5a with a vinyl group in the bridgehead position was not detected (entry 5). In this [4 + 3] reaction, substrate 4a used its vinyl group and a carbon in the cyclopropyl group as a 3C synthon, not the originally designed [4 + 3] reaction, where cyclopropane is a 3C synthon and the vinyl group is just a spectator. Substrate 4k with R=H did not react under the same conditions, implying that R=Me or that other substituents are required for the [4 + 3] reaction (see the bottom of Figure 4). With this exciting and encouraging result, we decided to develop the present [4 + 3] reaction as a general reaction to access a 5/7 ring skeleton.

Further optimization of this [4 + 3] reaction condition is shown in Figure 3. Different solvents were screened, and we found that the isolated yield of 6a could reach 76%.
The scope of this [4 + 3] cycloaddition was then investigated (Figure 4). Under the standard reaction conditions, the reaction yield for substrate 4a was 76%. For substrates 4b and 4c with other alkyl groups, the target cycloadditions occurred smoothly. Substrate 4d, with a benzyl ether in the diene component, could deliver the [4 + 3] product 6d in 70% yield. To our delight, substrate 4e with a phenyl group on diene was tolerated, giving the desired product 6e. The structure of 6e was further confirmed by X-ray analysis (CCDC: 2233621). We also evaluated the trisubstituted diene compound 4f, finding that the [4 + 3] cycloadduct 6f was generated in 60% yield.

Next, we examined whether substrates with different tethers could be suitable for the [4 + 3] cycloaddition. Both NNs- (4-nitrobenzenesulfonamide) and NBs (4-bromobenzenesulfonamide)-tethered substrates 4g and 4h can undergo [4 + 3] reactions without difficulty to give 6g and 6h, respectively. We also found that O-
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**Figure 3. Optimization of reaction conditions for [4 + 3] cycloaddition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>temperature/°C</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>time/h</th>
<th>yield%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Rh(PPh₃)₃]SbF₆</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[Rh(COE)₂Cl₂]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[Rh(COD)Cl₂]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rh(PPh₃)Cl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60%&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>76%&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>[Rh(CO₂)Cl₂]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>0.1 mmol substrate and 10 mol % catalyst were used.
<sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.
<sup>c</sup>brom.
<sup>d</sup>Average yield of two runs.
<sup>g</sup>5 mol % catalyst was used.
tethered substrate 4i smoothly delivered [4 + 3] product 6i in 50% yield. To our delight, treating C-tethered substrate 4j under optimal reaction conditions gave the desired product 6j in 62% yield, together with a trace amount of inseparable impurity.

As mentioned above, substrate 4k without a substituent in the diene part could not react. DFT calculations were used to rationalize why substituents were so significant to [4 + 3] cycloadditions, finding that such substituents could accelerate the endo-OCM process (see later discussion and the supplemental information). Substrate 4l, with a methyl group on the VCP, gave an unidentified complex mixture, and substrate 4m, containing a longer tether with respect to substrate 4a, stayed intact.
under the optimal reaction conditions. We also synthesized substrate 4n, which has an E-diene, to examine the conformational effects of diene in this [4 + 3] cycloaddition. Unfortunately, the reaction of 4n delivered a complex mixture, suggesting again that internal alkene with a Z configuration in the diene of the diene-VCPs substrates is critical to the success of the present [4 + 3] reaction. We point out here that the present [4 + 3] reaction could have side reactions, such as CO (from the used catalyst) insertion, resulting in minor products. During the study of the present [4 + 3] reaction, we did not check substrates—with the exception of 1a—and planned to investigate the cycloadditions of all substrates with CO in the future (see the supplemental information).

To further illustrate the synthetic applications of the reaction, we tried to transform the cycloadduct using different reactions (Figure 5). Allylic C–H oxidation of 6a can be successfully realized by using pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) as an oxidant, giving 9a in 51% yield. Interestingly, 9a can be transformed into a 5/3/6 fused tricycle product 10a via AlCl₃ mediated reaction (possibly via deprotonation/6π pericyclic reaction/protonation) in a yield of 51%. Besides, hydrogenation of 6a afforded 11a in a moderate yield. Fe(Pc)-mediated oxidation was also tried and we found that the reaction selectively occurred at the electron-rich double bond, giving 12a in 30% yield.

**Mechanism of the [4 + 3] reaction and discovery of endo-OCM**

To understand the reaction mechanism more deeply, both experiments and quantum chemical calculations were conducted. For experimental mechanistic study, visual kinetic analysis was carried out to illustrate reaction orders in the substrate and catalyst. Then, quantum chemical calculations were applied to provide detailed reaction pathways.

**Visual kinetic analysis**

We monitored the reaction of 4a by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and analyzed the data by a normalized timescale method and variable time normalization analysis. Considering that there are two CO insertion byproducts generated in the early stage (see
supplemental information for details), the kinetic data were collected after the reaction was run for 1 h. The order in [Rh(CO)2Cl]2 for the [4 + 3] cycloaddition was found to be 0.5 (Figure 6A). While, to our surprise, the reaction is zero order in 4a (Figure 6B). The 0.5 order in the catalyst implies that the resting state is dimeric and there is an equilibrium between the resting state ([Rh(4a)(CO)Cl]2, a possible structure has been proposed in Figure 6C based on similar structures reported in Le Gall et al.41) and the active catalytic species (Rh(4a)(CO)Cl). The zero order in the substrate suggests that two substrates are involved in the resting state (Figure 6C).

Disfavored pathway A involving oxidative addition of VCPs
DFT studies were further applied to elucidate mechanistic details of the [4 + 3] reaction. Pathway A (Figure 7) was originally proposed to account for the mechanism of [4 + 3] cycloaddition.
In pathway A, shown in Figure 7, complexation of the substrate with the catalyst (via ligand exchange between product-catalyst complex G and substrate 4) affords A, which undergoes the oxidative addition of cyclopropane to produce intermediate B. Subsequent insertion of diene’s internal alkene into the C–Rh bond produces C. After that, C turns into D via allylic slippage. If reductive elimination from D is favored, the expected [4 + 3] reaction would take place to give product trans-5. This expected [4 + 3] cycloaddition was not observed experimentally, suggesting that insertion of the bridgehead vinyl group into the C–Rh bond may be preferred to generate E, which then undergoes β-hydride elimination and reductive elimination to give the final [4 + 3] cycloadduct 6.

DFT calculations\(^4\)\(^2\) were carried out at SMD(toluene)/BMK/def2-TZVP//BMK/6-31G(d)/LANL2DZ level\(^4\)\(^3\)–\(^4\)\(^7\) (see supplemental information for details) by using O-tethered 4i as a model substrate (Figure 8). BMK was used because it was tested and found to be excellent in computing [Rh(CO)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)] catalyzed cycloadditions according to our recent benchmark study.\(^4\)\(^8\) However, DFT studies excluded pathway A because intermediate C in this pathway favors giving the [3 + 2] cycloaddition product instead of the [4 + 3] product. Here, we briefly describe why this pathway is not preferred. Figure 8A shows that in pathway A the reaction starts with an oxidative addition of VCP with an activation free energy of 9.1 kcal/mol via TS1. Then, the proximal double bond of the diene moiety coordinates with the Rh center to generate IN3, which then undergoes trans alkene insertion via transition state TS2 to deliver IN4 (trans-cycloaddition; here, the prefix trans means that the bridgehead hydrogen atom and vinyl group are in the trans position after cycloaddition). This step requires an activation energy of 29.6 kcal/mol (from IN2 to TS2). The alkene insertion could also give a cis intermediate IN4-cis (cis-cycloaddition; here, prefix cis means that the bridgehead hydrogen atom and vinyl group are in the cis position after cycloaddition).
Figure 8. Gibbs energy profiles and selected transition state structures for pathways A and B of the \([4 + 3]\) reaction of Z-diene-VCP.

Computed at the SMD(toluen)/BMK/def2-TZVPP//BMK/6-31G(d)/LANL2DZ level. Color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red; Cl, green; Rh, violet. Bond lengths are reported in Å.

(A) Gibbs energy profile of pathway A.
(B) Gibbs energy profile of pathway B.
(C) Selected transition state structures.
position after cycloaddition), which can be excluded for further consideration due to the high barriers (details are given in supplemental information).

IN4 has three transformation pathways. One is to form [3 + 2] product via TS4 to give IN6. Alternatively, IN4 isomerizes to \( \eta^1 \)-coordinated intermediate IN5, which then undergoes either the expected [4 + 3] pathway via TS3-RE, or vinyl insertion into the C–Rh bond to give IN7. After that, IN7 undergoes further transformations to give the final [4 + 3] product. These three pathways have their respective transition states, TS4 (24.4 kcal/mol), TS3-RE (32.2 kcal/mol), and TS3 (26.1 kcal/mol), among which TS4 is more favorable. This suggests that the trans-cycloaddition pathway is preferred and gives [3 + 2] product instead of the expected [4 + 3] or [4 + 3] product. This result was in contrast to the experimental observation. Besides, cis-cycloaddition of pathway A is also predicted to give other products instead of what is observed experimentally (see supplemental information). Consequently, a new mechanism, which is pathway B involving endo-OCM, is needed to account for the experimental observations. This is presented in Figure 8B.

**Computational understanding of the competition between exo- and endo-OCMs in Rh-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of butadiene and alkenes**

Before we discuss pathway B, we first discuss exo- and endo-OCMs in Rh-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloadditions between dienes and alkenes. These [4 + 2] reactions can be divided into four catalogs, namely E5/6, E6/6, Z5/6, and Z6/6, where E and Z represent an E-diene or a Z-diene, while 5/6 and 6/6 indicate that the generated bicyclic products are 5/6 or 6/6 bicycle skeletons. The 5/6 and 6/6 products could have cis or trans configurations, but here we only present the kinetically favored ones suggested from *ab initio* calculations.

All the four catalogs of [4 + 2] reactions could take place either via exo- or endo-OCM, followed by reductive elimination to deliver the final cycloadducts (Figure 9A). In the exo-OCM transition states containing 7-membered rhodacycles of diene, alkene, and Rh, which are labeled T rings (T, traditional), are forming. While in endo-OCMs, two metallacycles are forming, the left one is labeled an L ring (by the tether and Rh), and the other is an R ring (by Rh, diene, and alkene). Here, L means left and R means right. State-of-the-art DLPNO-CCSD(T) calculations were applied to investigate the kinetic preferences of these OCMs.

For E5/6, exo-OCM is favored kinetically by 15.0 kcal/mol compared with endo-OCM because the latter suffers from generating a highly strained 6-membered L ring and a 7-membered R ring. But for the Z5/6 system, this kinetic energy preference of exo- compared with endo-OCM is reduced to 10 kcal/mol because the Z-diene introduces the repulsion of metal and the tether, caused by the geometry of the diene in the exo-OCM transition state. Therefore, for E5/6 and Z5/6 catalogs, endo-OCMs are impossible.

In the E6/6 catalog, exo-OCM is only 2.9 kcal/mol favored kinetically over endo-OCM in that the forming L ring in the endo-OCM transition state is a 7-membered metallacycle (consisting of the 6-atom tether and Rh) and this bigger ring experiences less congestion. To our delight, in the Z6/6 catalog, exo- and endo-OCMs now have almost the same activation free energies. This is due to a trade-off: in the endo-OCM transition state, the forming L ring is a 7-membered metallacycle consisting of the 6-atom tether and Rh, with small ring strain; while the exo-OCM transition state is punished by the T ring distortion caused by the Z-diene geometry. Detailed analysis of ring strains is given in supplemental information.
Figure 9. Exo- and endo-ene/diene oxidative cyclometallations (OCMs)
Relative Gibbs energies are reported in kcal/mol, computed at SMD(toluene)/DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP//BMK/6-31G(d)/LANL2DZ level. Color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red; Cl, green; Rh, violet. T, traditional; L, left; R, right.
(A) Proposed exo and endo-oxidative cyclometallations in metal catalyzed [4+2] cycloadditions.
(B) Oxidative cyclometallations of E-diene-ene for 5/6 system.
(C) Oxidative cyclometallations of Z-diene-ene for 5/6 system.
(D) Oxidative cyclometallations of E-diene-ene for 6/6 system.
(E) Oxidative cyclometallations of Z-diene-ene for 6/6 system.
The above analysis suggests that, to favor endo-OCM, the tether between diene and alkene must be longer; for example, a 6-atom tether is preferred so that the L rings in the transition states experience less ring strains. On the other hand, using Z-dienes to make exo-OCMs creates repulsion between the tether and the Rh in forming the R rings. These insights are useful and can be helpful for the future design of endo-[m + n] and endo-[m + n + o] cycloadditions with endo-OCMs.

**Favored pathway B involving endo-OCM**

The above analysis indicates that the endo-OCM may occur for Z-diene-VCP, which is involved in pathway B (Figure 7). In pathway B, substrate-catalyst complex A first undergoes endo-ene/diene OCM (forming a bridged metallacycle) to give H. Then β-C cleavage of the cyclopropane takes place to give intermediate I, which is then converted to E via alkene insertion. After that, the steps followed are the same as those in pathway A.

As shown in Figure 8B, in pathway B, an irreversible endo-OCM of IN1 via TS5 affords a unique Rh-bridged spiro[2.9]dodecane heterocycle IN8, which needs an activation free energy of 17.4 kcal/mol. After IN8 is formed, β C–C bond cleavage of cyclopropane takes place through TS6 to give IN9. This process has an activation free energy of only 11.5 kcal/mol. TS6 is an early transition state and the energy needed for β C–C bond cleavage is not high. This can be understood by checking the structure of TS6, in which the distance of the broken C–C bond of cyclopropane is only 1.75 Å and the newly forming Rh–C bond is 2.49 Å.

We then proposed a new alkene insertion process: the newly formed alkene moiety in IN9 inserts into the Rh–C bond of this intermediate, giving IN7 (via TS7), which requires 17.6 kcal/mol of activation free energy and is exergonic by 12.7 kcal/mol. Subsequently, isomerization of IN7 gives IN10, which then undergoes β-H elimination to afford the Rh–H species IN11 via TS8. An easy reductive elimination then takes place to generate the product-coordinated Rh complex IN12. Finally, IN12 undergoes a ligand exchange reaction with the substrate to liberate the [4 + 3] product and IN1, which can then join the next catalytic cycle. In addition, our calculations found that IN9 could also undergo reductive elimination. Unfortunately, this process is much disfavored because a strained bridged bicycle would be formed in this pathway (see supplemental information).

The most difficult step in pathway A is the 1st alkene insertion via TS2, while the endo-OCM via TS5 is the most difficult step in pathway B. TS2 in pathway A is 9.3 kcal/mol higher than TS5 in pathway B in terms of Gibbs free energy, suggesting that pathway B is much more favored. Therefore, [4 + 3] product is preferentially generated, consistent with the experimental observation.

Why pathway B is favored over pathway A? We attribute this to the formation of a larger and more stable rhodacycle via endo-OCM. Besides, the β C–C bond cleavage of cyclopropane is easy. In contrast, the alkene insertion via TS2 in pathway A is difficult, considering that the insertion alkene is trisubstituted while our previous successful [3 + 2] reaction only used terminal akenes.

Here, we must point out that even though pathway B is favored, the reaction of Z-diene-VCPs in the reaction process first undergoes cyclopropane cleavage, forming IN2 via
pathway A because this is favored. Then IN2 will not continue pathway A (passing TS2 of alkene insertion in this pathway is difficult) but go back to form the substrate again, which then enters pathway B to give the final [4 + 3] product, based on the Curtin-Hammett principle.

Why not [4 + 2] reaction for Z-diene-VCPs?
All substrates of Z-diene-VCPs could possibly form [4 + 2] product via either exo- and endo-OCMs. As mentioned above, the reductive elimination from IN8 is disfavored by 15.6 kcal/mol and no [4 + 2] product could be generated by this pathway involving endo-OCM (Figure 8B). Here, we show that generating [4 + 2] product from exo-OCM is also disfavored because DFT calculations show that exo-OCM via TS5-A is higher than TS5 by 1.1 kcal/mol (Figure 10). The energy difference may be underestimated because no [4 + 2] product was observed experimentally. This can be well understood by using the rationalization shown in section computational understanding of the competition between exo- and endo-OCMs in Rh-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of butadiene and alkenes.

Deuterium labeling experiment and designed experiment supporting E-diene-VCPs giving [4 + 2] product
To further support our calculations, we carried out the deuterium labeling experiment by using 4a-D as the substrate. As expected, one deuterium atom on the vinyl group transferred to the 7-membered ring (in 6a-D), which means the vinyl and a carbon of the cyclopropane act as an untraditional 3-carbon synthon.

The above mechanistic insights also indicate that E-diene-VCPs belonging to E6/6 catalog favors exo-OCM. We then hypothesized that such substrates could give [4 + 2] products if the reductive elimination followed is preferred over the competing steps in both pathways A and B. Unfortunately, substrate 4n with an E-diene moiety gave a complex mixture under the standard conditions ([Rh(CO)2Cl]2 as catalyst) and we were not sure whether there were [4 + 2] cycloadducts in the mixture. Then, we decided to synthesize a new substrate 4o with an E-type diene (with a Ph group within) to test our prediction (it should be noted that 4o is a Z-diene-VCP according to the nomenclature rules, while here we still name it an E-diene-VCP because it has the same substitution pattern as other E-diene-VCPs).
To our delight, under the standard conditions, 4o gave a [4 + 2] cycloaddition product 13o with an isolated yield of 46% and no [4 + 3] product was observed (Figure 11), which supports our intuition gained from the above ab initio calculations. To further understand the selectivity, DFT calculations were also applied (see supplemental information for details). The major reason for this substrate choosing the [4 + 2] reaction is that pathway B, involving endo-OCM, would produce 6o with a trans-5/7 skeleton, which is energetically disfavored (Figure 11, the Rh and the bridgehead H in these intermediates are in trans configuration). While using substrates with Z-dienes, the 5/7 products formed are in cis configuration and pathway B is favored over [4 + 2]. This new experiment and calculations give further support to pathway B for the Z-diene-VCPs’ [4 + 3] reaction, discussed in this paper.

**Conclusions**

In summary, an unexpected Rh(I)-catalyzed [4 + 3] cycloaddition of Z-diene-VCPs has been developed to construct challenging 5/7-fused bicyclic rings with a bridgehead all-carbon quaternary center. In this [4 + 3] reaction, the VCPs in the substrates serve
as unprecedent three-carbon synthons by using its vinyl group and one carbon of the
cyclopropyl group, and the diene moiety in the substrates must be in a Z configura-
tion. Detailed DFT calculations and D-labeling experiments have been performed to
understand how the [4 + 3] reaction takes place and why the expected [4 + 3] reac-
tion is disfavored, revealing that an unprecedented endo-OCM between the diene
and vinyl group of VCP was key to the realization of the present cycloaddition.
Insights about competition of exo- and endo-OCMs have been obtained through
calculations and new experiments. Discovery of this novel endo-OCM of dienes
and alkenes is important for inspiring chemists to achieve new metal-catalyzed
cycloaddition reactions, especially the endo-[m + n + o] cycloadditions.
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