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## ABSTRACT




#### Abstract

Discovering new carbon building blocks is very significant to advance transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions for the synthesis of varioussized ring compounds. A new seven-carbon building block from buta-1,3-dienylcyclopropanes (BDCPs) has been developed, showing that, under the catalysis of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$, BDCPs react with CO to give $[7+1]$ cycloaddition products, cyclooctadienones. The present $[7+1]$ reaction provides an efficient entry to the synthetically challenging eight-membered carbocyclic skeleton, which is present in many natural products of medicinal and biological significance.


Discovering and developing transition-metal-catalyzed $[m+$ $n],[m+n+o]$, and $[m+n+o+x]$ cycloadditions, where the $m, n, o$, and $x$ refer to various atom building blocks ultimately incorporated in the final cycloadducts, is very important in today's science of synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ This is because the newly discovered cycloadditions are expected to provide new and efficient approaches and strategies to synthesize ring compounds of various sizes, which are building blocks and/ or skeletons of natural products, drugs, materials, etc. ${ }^{2}$ Today many scientists are endeavoring to discover and develop new transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions by designing and screening various combinations of transition metals and the existing atom building blocks. To further advance this

[^0]research field, it is very significant to discover new building blocks that can be used in cycloadditions. If a new building block is found, it could have various combinations with the known building blocks and transition metals to reach ring compounds of various sizes and substitutions.

Today many carbon building blocks from one to six (referred to as the $\mathrm{C}_{x}$ building blocks, $x=1,2,3,4,5,6$ ) have been discovered and used in transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions. However, discovering a seven-carbon building block $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$ and larger carbon building blocks is still a formidable challenge. ${ }^{3}$ We regard the discovery and development of such large building blocks as significant toward the synthesis of medium- and large-sized ring compounds, which can be only reached by a limited number of reactions today. Here we report for the first time a rhodium-catalyzed [7+

[^1]1] cycloaddition of buta-1,3-dienylcyclopropanes (BDCPs) and CO for the synthesis of cyclooctadienones, where BDCPs act as a $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ building block. This new $[7+1]$ reaction complements the known transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions ${ }^{4,5}$ to the synthetically challenging eight-membered carbocyclic skeleton, which is present in many natural products of medicinal and biological significance. ${ }^{6}$ Of the same importance, the discovery of this new $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ building block expands the existing set of building blocks and would create opportunities to develop other transition-metal-catalyzed [7 $+x]$, $[7+x+y]$ cycloadditions.

BDCPs usually undergo [1,3]-shift reactions to give 3-vinyl-cyclopentene derivatives, either by the catalysis of transition metals ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ or flash vacuum pyrolysis, as the vinyl cyclopropanes do (Scheme 1a). ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ We reasoned that, under the catalysis of Rh complex, BDCP could become a $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ building block and take part in [7 + 1] cycloaddition with CO (Scheme 1b). In the presence of a Rh complex, BDCP could form an $\eta^{4}$-complex $\mathbf{A}$ with Rh . Then cyclopropane cleavage gives intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ or $\mathbf{C}$. Reductive elimination from $\mathbf{B}$ to give a seven-membered carbocyclic product is expected to be difficult since this step involves the formation of a $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ bond. ${ }^{8}$ However, once CO is present, through coordination and insertion processes, complex $\mathbf{D}$ or $\mathbf{E}$ will be generated. Finally a migratory reductive elimination from $\mathbf{D}$ or $\mathbf{E}$ to generate a $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ bond is much easier than the reductive elimination step from $\mathbf{B}$, leading to the [7 +1 ] cycloadduct $\mathbf{F}$. ${ }^{9}$

We started our test of the proposed $[7+1]$ reaction by subjecting 1a and CO (balloon pressured mixed gas of CO

[^2]Scheme 1. Previous [1,3]-Shift and Our Proposed [7 + 1] Reaction of Buta-1,3-dienylcyclopropanes
a) Previous reports

b) Our proposed $[7+1]$ reaction

and $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{Ar}=1: 9 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}))$ to $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ in dioxane. ${ }^{10}$ To our delight, this reaction really gave the $[7+1]$ cycloadduct $\mathbf{2 a}$ with a reaction yield of $22 \%$ (Table 1 , entry 1 ). $\mathbf{2 a}$ is a conjugated cyclooctadienone ${ }^{11}$ and is probably generated from a nonconjugated cyclooctadienone, 3a, which is the expected $[7+1]$ cycloadduct according to the proposed mechanism shown in Scheme 1b. This hypothesis was proved to be true by the following experiments. First, when the partial pressure of CO was increased to 0.5 atm , both $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ were obtained with $\mathbf{3 a}$ as the minor product (Table 1, entry 2). Second, 3a can be isomerized to $\mathbf{2 a}$ under the catalysis of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ in dioxane (Scheme 2).

To improve the $[7+1]$ reaction yield, we further screened the reaction conditions. When the CO pressure was 1.0 atm , the $[7+1]$ reaction yield was $74 \%$ with a conversion of $81 \%$ (entry 3). Further increasing the CO pressure did not improve the reaction yield. For example, the $[7+1]$ reaction yield decreased to $57 \%$ and $45 \%$ when CO pressure was 2.0 and 4.0 atm , respectively (entries 4 and 5, Table 1). Reducing the load of catalyst resulted in low yield (entry 6). The best solvent for the present $[7+1]$ reaction is dioxane since reactions carried out in DCE and toluene gave lower yields (entries 7 and 8). In all cases, conjugated cycloocta-2,4-dienone $\mathbf{2 a}$ is the main product, accompanied by the minor product $\mathbf{3 a}$.

Substrate 1a, which is a mixture of the $Z(\mathbf{1 a}-Z)$ and $E$ $(\mathbf{1 a}-E)$ isomers, could not be consumed in all of the
(10) Low pressure of CO was found to be beneficial for some $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$-catalyzed cycloadditions such as $[(5+2)+1],[(3+2)+$ 1], and $[(2+2)+1]$ cycloadditions. See: (a) Kobayashi, T.; Koga, Y.; Narasaka, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 624, 73. (b) Jiao, L.; Lin, M.; Zhuo, L.-G.; Yu, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2528. (c) See refs 4 i and 4 k .
(11) The structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and confirmed by the crystallographic data of the hydrazone derivative of $\mathbf{2 a}$ (see the Supporting Information).

Table 1. Screening of the $[7+1]$ Reaction Conditions ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ General conditions: $\mathbf{1 a}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, solvent $(4 \mathrm{~mL}), 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Based on recovered starting material. ${ }^{c}$ Combined isolated yield. ${ }^{d}$ Balloon pressured mixed gas of CO and $\mathrm{Ar}(1: 9, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}) .{ }^{e}$ Only 2a was isolated. ${ }^{f}$ Balloon pressured mixed gas of CO and $\operatorname{Ar}(1: 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$. ${ }^{g}$ Reaction run with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} .{ }^{h}$ Reaction run at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Scheme 2. Rhodium-Catalyzed Isomerizations of Cycloocta-3,5-dienones to Cycloocta-2,4-dienones

described reaction conditions shown in Table 1. To understand the reasons behind this, we studied the

Table 2. Reactivity Comparison of $Z$ and $E$ Isomers of $\mathrm{BDCP}^{a}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{a}-Z \text { or } 1 \mathrm{a}-E
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{a}$ General conditions: $\mathbf{1 a}-Z$ or $\mathbf{1 a}-E(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%$ ), dioxane ( 4 mL ). ${ }^{b}$ Based on recovered starting material. ${ }^{c}$ Combined isolated yield. ${ }^{d} 30 \%$ of $E$ isomer (1a- $E$ ) was isolated.

Table 3. Scope of the $[7+1]$ Reaction ${ }^{a}$
(2)
${ }^{a}$ General conditions: $1(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, solvent $(4 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{b}$ Based on recovered starting material. ${ }^{c}$ Combined isolated yield. ${ }^{d}$ Isomerization was shown in Scheme 2. ${ }^{e}$ Only 2j was isolated.
individual reactions of the pure $Z(\mathbf{1 a}-Z)$ and $E(\mathbf{1 a}-E)$ isomers of 1a (Table 2). It was found that both isomers could undergo [7 + 1] cycloaddition, but with different reactivities. The $Z$ isomer gave products in yield of $20 \%$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a conversion of $32 \%$ ( $68 \%$ of the starting 1a-Z was recovered) (entry 1). By increasing the reaction
temperature to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the $Z$ isomer gave a reaction yield of $58 \%$ with a conversion of $66 \%$ (entry 2). Notably, the $E$ isomer, 1a- $E$, was obtained in $30 \%$ in this case, indicating that the $Z$ isomer can isomerize to its $E$ isomer at this relatively high temperature (e.g., $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). By a further increase in the reaction temperature to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the $Z$ isomer could be consumed in 58 h , with a yield of $62 \%$ (entry 3 ). Unlike the $Z$ isomer, the $E$ isomer behaved more sluggishly in the $[7+1]$ cycloaddition: even at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it gave cycloadducts in yield of $38 \%$, with a conversion of only $41 \%$ (entry 4 ). About $59 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 a}-E$ was recovered in this reaction, suggesting that $\mathbf{1 a - E}$ is much more stable than $\mathbf{1 a -} Z$ in the present reaction system. To summarize, data in Table 2 demonstrate that both $E$ and $Z$ isomers of BDCPs can take part in the $[7+1]$ reaction, but BDCP with the cyclopropyl and terminal alkene in a trans configuration ( $\mathbf{1 a -} Z$ ) is more reactive than BDCP with the cyclopropyl and terminal alkene in a cis configuration (1a$E)$ in the $[7+1]$ cycloaddition. The reasons behind these differences are the subject of future mechanistic investigation.

With the new discovered reaction in hand, we further studied the scope of this $[7+1]$ reaction. It was found that BDCPs with various substituents could undergo the [7 +1] cycloaddition to give eight-membered carbocycles in moderate to good yields (Table 3, entries $1-9$ ). The substituents could be electron-neutral aryl (entries 2, 4, and 7), electronrich aryl (entries 1 and 5), electron-poor aryl (entry 3), heterocyclic aryl (entry 6), and alkyl (entries 8 and 9) groups. Electron-rich and -neutral substituents gave good reaction yields (entries 1, 2, 4, and 7-9). Electron-poor and heterocyclic aryl substituents gave moderate reaction yields (entries 3 and 6). BDCP with a trisubstituted diene moiety could also undergo $[7+1]$ cycloaddition smoothly and gave a moderate yield (entry 5). A methyl substituent gave a low yield of $12 \%$ (entry 10 ). When $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ in $\mathbf{1}$, no $[7+1]$ cycloadducts but cyclohex-3-enone derivatives $\mathbf{4 k}$ and $\mathbf{4 l}$ were obtained in yields of $66 \%$ and $69 \%$, respectively (Scheme 3). The proposed mechanism of the formation of $\mathbf{4 k}$ and $\mathbf{4 l}$ was given in the Supporting Information.
Unfortunately, an aryl substitution (Scheme 4, 1m) or a fused six-membered ring ( $\mathbf{1 p}$ and $\mathbf{1 q}$ ) on the cyclopropane totally retarded the target $[7+1]$ reactions. Alkynyl substrate 1n or enolate 10 could not undergo the [7 +1 ] reactions either. In all these unsuccessful cases, only starting materials were recovered under the standard reaction conditions.

In summary, we have shown, for the first time, a Rh-catalyzed $[7+1]$ cycloaddition of BDCPs and CO. This reaction provides a new strategy for the assembly of eight-

Scheme 3. Unexpected Reactions of BDCPs and CO


Scheme 4. Unsuccessful Substrates for the [7 + 1] Reaction



membered carbocycles. This is the first report of BDCPs acting as a $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ building block and is expected to bring opportunities to develop other transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions. Further work to study the scope and detailed reaction mechanism of this [7+1] reaction and explore this $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ building block in transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions is underway.
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