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ABSTRACT: Chatani and He respectively reported an efficient way to synthesize indenes through PtCl₂ catalyzed sp³ C–H bond activation. Interestingly, the R group (R = H or Br) in the alkyne moiety of the substrates in Chatani’s experiments migrates to the C3 position in indenes, whereas the R group (R = Ar) stays in the original C2 position of final indenes in He’s experiments. DFT calculations indicated that there are two competing pathways a and c for the cyclization reaction. Pathway a involves [1,2]-R migration, [1,5]-H shift, and 4π-electrocyclization, giving the indenes with the R group at the C3 position. Pathway c takes place through irreversible [1,5]-H shift/cyclization and [1,2]-H shift, generating indenes with the R group at the C2 position. DFT calculations found that, when R = H or Br, pathway a is favored. When R = alkyl group, the [1,2]-R migration is difficult and pathway c is preferred. When R = Ar, DFT calculations predicted and experiments verified that both pathways a and c occur to give two indene products. Comparison of different models of sp³ C–H activations has been presented to guide further understanding and prediction of new C–H bond activations.

INTRODUCTION

Designing, discovering, and developing new C–H bond functionalization reactions is one of the most intensively investigated research fields in today’s science of synthesis. Understanding the mechanisms of the reported C–H bond functionalization reactions is very critical for advancing the related field, considering that the mechanistic insights obtained experimentally and/or computationally can provide useful hints and guidance for optimizing and developing new reactions and catalysts. Unfortunately, even though many elegant C–H functionalization reactions have been developed, only a small number of these reactions have been investigated mechanistically. For example, recently, Yamamoto, Liu, Chatani, and He made great contributions in this field (Schemes 1 and 2). It was demonstrated for the first time that simple tertiary C–H bonds can participate in this type of catalytic cyclization reaction to form all-carbon quaternary functionalization products. In 2006, Yamamoto reported the PtBr₂-catalyzed cyclization reaction of 1-ethynyl-2-(1-alkoxybut-3-enyl)benzenes, giving functionalized indenes (Scheme 1). However, simple alkyl substituted compounds without methoxy groups were inapplicable even at high temperature (120 °C). Liu found that the similar reaction can also be catalyzed by Ru catalyst (Scheme 1). Recently, Chatani’s group and He’s group independently made significant advances in this field (reactions 1−3, Scheme 2). Chatani and co-workers found that PtCl₂, PtCl₄, or [RuCl₂(CO)₅]₂ can serve as the general catalyst for the cyclization of 1-alkyl-2-ethynylbenzenes under relatively mild conditions (30−80 °C), leading to benzylic C–H bond functionalization products. It was demonstrated for the first time that simple tertiary C–H bonds can participate in this type of catalytic cyclization reaction to form all-carbon quaternary...
centers. They carried out some preliminary mechanistic investigations using deuterated alkyne 1 and Br-substituted alkyne 2 as the substrates and found that the deuterium atom and Br atom were selectively incorporated at the C3 position exclusively in the indene products 1-P and 2-P, respectively (reactions 1 and 2). He and co-workers later on found that the substituent in the alkyne part can be an aryl group, but the aryl group was incorporated at the C2 position of the final products (Scheme 2). This reaction’s yield was 52%, but 82% it can be reached if both PtCl₂ and CuBr were used as catalysts (see their original report for details).⁷

To our surprise, the proposed mechanisms for reactions 1–3 by Chatani and He are quite different (pathways a and b, Scheme 3). Chatani⁶ proposed that, for substrate S with R = H or Br, the cyclization reaction starts from coordination of PtCl₂ to the triple bond in S, leading to the formation of Pt–π-alkyne complex A, which can be viewed as an equilibrium structure of zwitterionic B (We name this equilibrium form as an endo vinyl cation, which is opposite to another equilibrium form, the exo vinyl cation H. Also B and H can be regarded as carbene species.).¹⁰ Subsequently, the hydrogen or bromine at the terminal alkyne migrates to the adjacent internal β-carbon to form a vinylidene platinum intermediate C,¹¹ which activates the sp³ C–H bond at the benzylic carbon. A [1,5]-H shift transforms the platinum vinylidene C into a Pt–carbene complex D. Complex D can be viewed as a metallohexatriene, which then undergoes electrocyclization to form metallacycle E. Subsequent reductive elimination from E gives the final product P-I. Because of the existence of [1,2]-R migration, the migrated R (H or Br) was incorporated at the C3 position in the final indene products.

In contrast, He⁷ proposed a mechanism without [1,2]-R shifts for substrates with R = Ar and alkyl groups (pathway b). In pathway b, complex B, an endo vinyl cation, undergoes a [1,4]-H shift to give Pt-carbene complex F, which then furnishes product P-II through cyclization and reductive elimination. In P-II, the R group is incorporated at the C2 position of the indene product.

We were very curious to know why different substrates have different reaction pathways. In addition, we proposed that there is another possible pathway, pathway c involving [1,5]-H shift/ cyclization and [1,2]-R migration (H or R migration), to account for the experimental results from both Chatani and He.

Scheme 2. Cyclization Reactions Reported by Chatani Group⁶ and He Group⁷

Scheme 3. Three Possible Pathways for PtCl₂-Catalyzed Cyclization of Alkynes Proposed by Chatani (Pathway a),⁶ He (Pathway b),⁷ and Us (Pathway c)
groups. In pathway c, the PtCl₂-alkyne complex can be viewed as an exo vinyl cation species H, which can undergo [1,5]-H shift to give Complex I. Complex I then undergoes cyclization and [1,2]-H shift or [1,2]-R shift, generating indene products P-II or P-I, respectively.

Among the three possible pathways, three modes of C−H activations were involved from complexes C, B, and H, respectively (see also Scheme 4 in the later discussion). The

Scheme 4. DFT Computed Activation Free Energies (kcal/mol) for [1,2]-R Migration and C−H Activations in the Gas Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[1,2]-R migration</th>
<th>Three modes of C-H activations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>[1,2]-R migration</td>
<td>Three modes of C-H activations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C−H bond is activated through [1,5]-H shift reaction from C in pathway a and H in pathway c, while in pathway b, the C−H bond is activated through the [1,4]-H shift from B. The activation free energies of three modes will be calculated and compared so that this information can be helpful for the future design of C−H activation reactions.

In the present paper, we report our DFT study of the energy surfaces of the proposed pathways a−c for substrates with R = H, Br, Ph, and Me. Previously, Zhao and co-workers discussed several possible pathways of the indene formation from substrate with R = H.9 We aim here to answer which pathway, for a specific substrate, will be favored and the reason for this preference. We also tried to analyze the detailed potential energy surfaces for all these substrates to get the kinetic and thermodynamic data of these reactions and the structures of key transition states and intermediates. When calculation results were different from experiments, new experimental tests were carried out to support or disprove calculation results. In addition, we designed and studied reaction 4 (Scheme 2) computational and experimentally to understand how a substrate with an alkyl group in its alkyne part undergoes the C−H activation reaction using PtCl₂ as the catalyst.

### COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program.12 Density functional theory (DFT)13 calculations using the B3LYP functional14 were used to locate all the stationary points involved. The 6-31G(d) basis set15 was applied for all elements except for Pt, for which the LANL2DZ16 basis set and pseudopotential were used. This approach has been successfully applied to study structures and reaction mechanisms for reactions of PtCl₂ complexes and other Pt-catalyzed cycloadditions.17 Frequency calculations at the same level were performed to confirm each stationary point to be either a minimum or a transition structure. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)18 calculations were performed to confirm the connection of each transition state to its corresponding reactant and product. The single point energies were calculated by the M0619 method using the same basis set to include the contribution of dispersion energies (we found that in the present system, B3LYP and M06 gave very similar

Figure 1. DFT computed energy surface of pathway a for the PtCl₂-catalyzed cyclization of 1-ethynyl-2-isopropylbenzene 1.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Mechanism of the Cyclization of 1-Ethynyl-2-isopropylbenzene 1 (Substrate S with R = H, Scheme 3). DFT calculations revealed that pathway a is the most favored for the cyclization of 1-ethynyl-2-isopropylbenzene 1. In this part, we will discuss our understanding of the favored pathway a in detail (relative energies are given in Figure 1, while some key computed structures are given in Figure 2). The disfavored pathways b and c of this reaction will be discussed briefly later on.

Catalyst Transfer. The catalytic cycle starts from the catalyst transfer between product-catalyst complex 1-a-IN4 (which is generated in the previous catalytic cycle) and the substrate 1. This process is endergonic by 5.0 kcal/mol, giving an alkynyl–Pt complex 1-IN1.

Complex 1-IN1 is a polarized complex with distances between the coordinated Pt and two sp-hybridized carbon atoms of 1.98 Å (Pt–C2) and 2.40 Å (Pt–C3), respectively (Figure 2). This unsymmetrical coordination mode implies that 1-IN1 can be regarded as a zwitterionic species with negative charge at the PtCl2 part and the positive at the C3 atom. The cationic C3 atom can be envisioned as a vinyl cation. NBO analysis shows that the charge populations on C2 and C3 in free reactant 1 are -0.21 e and -0.04 e, respectively, while these are changed to -0.27 and 0.12 e in 1-IN1. NBO analysis also shows that Pt–C3 is a single bond, while Pt–C2 has some double-bond character, as demonstrated by the bond orders of Pt–C3 (0.99) and Pt–C2 (1.4). Thus, the Pt–C2 bond can also be described as a platinum carbene species. The favorable generation of the unsymmetrical complex 1-IN1 could be comprehended by the fact that the positive charges on C3 atom can be better stabilized by the aryl group. Thus, the triple bond in C3 position in 1-IN1 has attribute of a vinyl cation.

[1,2]-H Shift to Generate Vinylidene Complex. Complex 1-IN1 then undergoes a [1,2]-H shift process to give vinylidene platinum intermediate 1-a-IN2. This step requires an activation free energy of 18.6 kcal/mol in the gas phase and is endergonic by only 1.5 kcal/mol. IRC calculations unambiguously confirmed the connection of 1-a-TS1 to its corresponding reactant and product. In the [1,2]-H shift transition state 1-a-TS1, the C2–Pt bond is nearly formed while the hydrogen is transferring from C2 to C3 with distances of C2–H2 of 1.24 and 1.37 Å, respectively. Different groups have different barriers for the [1,2]-R migration and this difference is one reason for the different pathways (Scheme 4. The other migrations will be discussed later on).

[1,5]-H Shift. Intermediate 1-a-IN2 is then converted to the benzyl cation intermediate 1-a-IN3 via a [1,5]-H shift transition state 1-a-TS2. In 1-a-TS2, the bond distance of the breaking C1–H1 bond is 1.40 Å. The computed activation free energy of this step is only 5.2 kcal/mol, and the formation of 1-a-IN3 is a thermodynamically favored process, which is exergonic by 2.8 kcal/mol.

Experimentally, the [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen shift is widely used in organic synthesis, but usually harsh reaction
conditions are required. For example, thermal cyclization of 2-alkyl-1-allenylbenzenes actually proceeds at 185 °C (Scheme 5). Why is the [1,5]-H shift in the present vinylidene system so easy? To answer it, we computed the activation barriers of several [1,5]-H shift processes (Scheme 6). Calculations showed that the [1,5]-H shift of allenyl- and alkenyl-substituted precursors (1B-a-IN2 and 1C-a-IN2) have activation free energies of 32.3 and 41.3 kcal/mol, about 25–30 kcal/mol higher than [1,5]-H shift in the Pt-vinylidene system. We attributed the lower activation barrier for the Pt-vinylidene system to the following two reasons. One is that this Pt-vinylidene is polarized and can be regarded as a zwitterionic species with positive charge at C2 atom and negative charge at the PtCl2 moiety. Consequently, [1,5]-hydride shift for this system is easier for the shifting hydride to the cationic C2 atom. The second reason is that the [1,5]-H shift is endothermic for 1B-a-IN2 and 1C-a-IN2, while for the Pt-vinylidene system 1-a-IN2, this is exothermic. On the basis of the Hammond Postulate, the [1,5]-H shift reaction which is exothermic should be easier in the Pt-vinylidene system than the other reactions shown in Scheme 6, which are endothermic.

**Cationic 4π-Electrocyclization Step.** Chatani proposed that intermediate D in pathway a undergoes six-electron electrocyclization to give Pt(IV) complex E, which then gives the final indene product via reductive elimination reaction. Similar mechanism has been proposed by Liu in the Ru-catalyzed indene and analogue synthesis. However, all efforts to locate such a 6π-electron electrocyclization transition state failed. Instead, we can locate C1–C2 bond formation transition state 1-a-TS3. IRC calculations found such a transition state leads to indene–PtCl2 complex 1-a-IN4, in which PtCl2 coordinates to the alkene’s double bond. This cationic 4π-electrocyclization step is exergonic by 34.2 kcal/mol and is very facile with an activation free energy of 3.3 kcal/mol. This facile cyclization reaction can be envisioned as a 4π-electrocyclization because 1-a-IN3 can be regarded as a vinyl-substituted benzyl cation. For comparison, we computed the simple vinyl benzyl cation’s cyclization of 1D-a-IN3 and 1E-a-IN3, finding that these processes are easy with activation free energies of 8.2 and 8.7 kcal/mol, respectively (Scheme 7).

In summary, the overall potential energy surface of pathway a shows that the first [1,2]-hydride shift is the rate-limiting step of the cyclization (Figure 1) and the activation free energy for the cyclization reaction is 23.6 kcal/mol in the gas phase. The whole catalytic cycle is highly exergonic by 30.5 kcal/mol. Why Pathways b and c are Not Favored? Besides pathway a, the reaction could also occur via pathway b, in which a [1,4]-H shift first occurs to give 1-b-IN2. Then 1-b-IN2 gives the final product via the 4π-electron electrocyclization reaction (Scheme 8). This mechanism has been ruled out by deuterium labeling experiments. Calculations also found that this pathway is not favored compared to pathway a because the energy...
barrier of [1,4]-hydride shift via 1-b-TS1 is as high as 30.2 kcal/mol from 1-IN1, 11.6 kcal/mol higher than that required in pathway a.

The direct insertion of π-alkyne−Pt into a benzylic C−H bond via pathway c can also be excluded. As shown in Scheme 8, the first step for formation of cyclopentane platinum carbene intermediate 1-c-IN2 through 1-c-TS1 via an irreversible [1,5]-H shift is predicted to be more difficult than the [1,2]-H shift via 1-a-TS1, with an activation free energy of 20.7 kcal/mol from 1-IN1 to 1-c-TS1. This process can be viewed as the [1,5]-H shift to generate a zwitterionic species, which quickly cyclizes to give 1-c-IN2 (Scheme 8). The cyclization step can also be viewed as a 4π-electron electrocyclization. The formation of 1-c-IN2 from 1-IN1 is dramatically exergonic by 39.3 kcal/mol as the result of the formation of a new C−C bond in this process. In addition, the computed activation free energy of the [1,2]-H shift via 1-c-TS2 would be 35.6 kcal/mol from 1-c-IN2 to 1-a-IN4, probably due to the very stable of platinum−carbene intermediate 1-c-IN2. The irreversible [1,5]-H shift in the gas phase in pathway c is disfavored by only 2.1 kcal/mol than that of the [1,2]-H shift in pathway a, but this is disfavored further to 3.4 kcal/mol in toluene, suggesting that pathway c is completely suppressed in solution compared to pathway a.

From the above Results and Discussion, we can find that for 1-ethynyl-2-isopropylbenzene, the preferred pathway a involves the first formation of the vinylidene intermediate 1-a-IN2 via a [1,2]-H shift of the acetylenic hydrogen. This step is the rate-determining step and requires an activation free energy of 23.6 kcal/mol. Then a [1,5]-H shift of benzylic hydrogen occurs to form the vinyl benzyl cation intermediate, which could be transformed into the product−catalyst complex easily via the
2. Mechanism of the Cyclization of 1-(Bromoethynyl)-2-isopropylbenzene 2 (Substrate S with R = Br, Scheme 3). Figure 3 gives the DFT-computed energy surface of the Pt(II)-catalyzed intramolecular cyclization of 1-(bromoethynyl)-2-isopropylbenzene 2 in the favored pathway a, which also starts from catalyst transferring to the triple bond of substrate from catalyst–product complex 2-a-IN2, followed by a [1,2]-Br shift to give vinylidene–platinum complex 2-a-IN4 with an activation free energy of 15.9 kcal/mol (for some key structures, see Figure 4). The following steps in the present system are different from the reaction of 1-ethynyl-2-isopropylbenzene, where complex 1-a-IN2 undergoes [1,5]-H shift and 4π-electron electrocyclization separately to give 1-a-IN4. In the present system, 2-a-IN2 can form 2-a-IN4 in one step via a [1,5]-H shift 2-a-TS2, requiring an activation free energy of 3.8 kcal/mol (Figure 4). This suggests that the intermediate generated by the [1,5]-H shift step is not a minimum in the reaction but it directly undergoes the 4π-electron electrocyclization to give 2-a-IN4. 30 It is interesting to note that the second step of [1,5]-H shift has a negative barrier in toluene solution, suggesting that this step is very fast.

Calculations found that the rate-determining step in pathway a is the [1,2]-Br shift via transition state 2-a-TS1. The overall activation free energy for the pathway a is 15.9 kcal/mol.
is similar to the reaction of 1, which has the [1,2]-H shift step as the rate-determining step with an activation energy of 23.6 kcal/mol. This suggests that 2 is more reactive than 1 under the catalysis of PtCl₂. Calculation results are consistent with the experimental observations (Scheme 2).

We also computed the energy surfaces for pathway b and c. The [1,4]-H shift step in pathway b and the [1,5] hydride shift step in pathway c require an activation free energy of 25.0 and 20.4 kcal/mol in the gas phase, respectively. Because both pathways b and c need higher activation barriers than pathway a has, 1-bromoethyl-2-isopropylbenzene prefers pathway a to generate indene product.

3. Mechanism of Cyclization of 1-Isopropyl-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene 3-C₆H₅ (Substrate S with R = Ph, Scheme 3). We computed the energy surfaces of phenyl substituted compound 3-C₆H₅ for pathways a, b, and c (Figures 5 and 6). The [1,4]-H shift for pathway b has an activation energy of 30.2 kcal/mol, which is significantly higher than those in pathways a and c, so pathway b can be ruled out for further consideration (Scheme 4 and Figure 5).

In pathway a, the first step of [1,2]-Ph migration reaction is the rate-determining step, which requires an activation free energy of 19.7 kcal/mol. The second step is a concerted [1,5]-hydride shift and cationic 4π-electrocyclization reaction, which is easy with an activation free energy of 5.8 kcal/mol (for ligand transfer reactions, see the Supporting Information).

But to our surprise, we found that pathway c is favored over pathway a by about 2.0 kcal/mol. The first step in pathway c is [1,5]-hydride shift and cationic 4π-electrocyclization, requiring an activation free energy of 17.7 kcal/mol. This step is exergonic by 29.3 kcal/mol. The final step in pathway c is the [1,2]-H shift to give indene-PtCl₂ complex 3-c-IN3 via transition state 3-c-TS2. This step requires an activation free energy of 26.4 kcal/mol. Compared to the [1,2]-H shift for 1-ethyl-2-isopropylbenzene 1, which requires an activation free energy of 35.6 kcal/mol (Scheme 8), the present [1,2]-H shift is much easier. This is because the phenyl group can stabilize the transition state through conjugation. Besides, the benzylic C–H bond is weaker than the ordinary C–H bond in the previous case.

There is a possible [1,2]-Ph shift step in pathway c to compete with the [1,2]-H shift from intermediate 3-c-IN2, but this step can be ruled out due to its higher activation energy (this reaction is difficult than the [1,2]-H shift by 4.8 kcal/mol, see Figure 5). Calculations suggested that in pathway c, the [1,2]-H shift is the rate-determining step with the activation free energy of 26.4 kcal/mol in the gas phase.

Calculations indicated that the [1,5]-hydride shift and cyclization in pathway c is irreversible, and this step is easier than the rate-determining [1,2]-H shift in pathway a by 2.0 kcal/mol (in solution, the energy difference is reduced to 1.5 kcal/mol, suggesting that the C2-phenyl substituted product 3P-C₆H₅ is the major product, while C3-phenyl substituted product 3P'-C₆H₅ is the minor product (Figure 5). In He's experimental result, only 3P-C₆H₅ was isolated and reported (Scheme 2, reaction 3). To test whether our calculation prediction was correct or not, we repeated this experiment (Scheme 9 and Table 1).

To our delight, we found that both products 3-P and 3-P' can be obtained with a ratio of 3.0:1 when Ar = Ph (Figure 7). This suggests that the energy preference for the pathway c over pathway a is 0.9 kcal/mol. Our computed energy difference here is overestimated by 0.6 kcal/mol in the solution (1.1 kcal/mol in the gas phase). We further reasoned that this energy difference is reduced to 1.5 kcal/mol.

Scheme 9. Cyclizations of Aryl Substituted Alkynes 3 (Reaction Yields and the Ratios of Products Are Given in Table 1)
difference could be changed by introducing different substituents in the aryl group, and consequently, the ratio of the two products could be changed, too. Calculations indicated that the energy preference of pathway $c$ over $a$ was about 1 kcal/mol when a $t$-Bu or a MeO group was introduced in the aryl group, suggesting that more 3-P' would be generated (Table 1). Our new experiments also supported the calculation results because the ratios of 3-P to 3-P' in these two cases were 2.6:1 and 1.9:1, respectively.

Experimentally, He and co-workers used both PtCl$_2$ and CuBr as the catalysts. At this moment, we do not know how the CuBr can facilitate the reaction. But we found that using He’s reaction conditions, both products 3-P and 3-P' were obtained, in contrast to their previous reports (see the Experimental Section). This suggested that pathways $a$ and $c$ could both take place when both catalyst of PtCl$_2$ and additive of CuBr were used. Further investigation on how CuBr affects the reaction will be carried out.

### Table 1. Experimental and Computational Study of the Product Distribution for PtCl$_2$ Catalyzed Cyclization of 3 with Different Ar Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry$^a$</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>3-a-TS$^{b,c}$</th>
<th>3-c-TS$^{b,c}$</th>
<th>DFT predicted ratio in solution at 120 °C (3-P:3-P')</th>
<th>experimentally measured ratio (3-P:3-P')</th>
<th>total yield of 3-P and 3-P' (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$</td>
<td>17.0 (19.7)</td>
<td>15.5 (17.7)</td>
<td>6.8:1</td>
<td>3.0:1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4’-BuC$_6$H$_4$</td>
<td>15.2 (18.4)</td>
<td>15.0 (17.4)</td>
<td>1.3:1</td>
<td>2.6:1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$</td>
<td>16.7 (19.2)</td>
<td>16.0 (18.0)</td>
<td>2.4:1</td>
<td>1.9:1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The reaction was catalyzed by 10 mol% PtCl$_2$ in toluene (see Scheme 9). $^b$The computed free energy in kcal/mol in toluene was relative to that of 3/PtCl$_2$ complex. $^c$The value in the parentheses is the computed activation free energy in the gas phase.

Figure 7. $^1$H NMR of mixture from the cyclization reaction (36 h) of 3-C$_6$H$_5$ catalyzed by PtCl$_2$ at 120 °C after removing catalyst by flash chromatography on silica gel.

4. Mechanism of the Cyclization of 1-Isopropyl-2-(prop-1-ynyl)benzene 4-CH$_3$ (Substrate S with $R = $ Me, Scheme 3). Experimentally, He and co-workers carried out the indene synthesis using $R = $ alkyl group in their optimized reaction conditions (PtCl$_2$, CuBr) but not under the PtCl$_2$ catalysis conditions. We were interested to know what could happen for this system using PtCl$_2$ as the only catalyst. Our DFT calculations found that for reaction 4, the pathway $c$ is the most favored (Figures 8, 9 and Scheme 4). The [1,5]-H shift/cyclization via 4-c-TS1 and [1,2]-H shift step via 4-c-TS2 require activation free energies of 21.5 and 25.4 kcal/mol, respectively. The corresponding [1,2]-Me shift for pathway $a$ requires an activation free energy of 31.6 kcal/mol, indicating that C3 substituted product is difficult to be generated. We carried out an experiment to test this, showing that our calculations agreed with the new experiment. The cycloisomerization of 4-CH$_3$CH$_2$Ph (substrate 4 with $R = $ CH$_3$CH$_2$Ph) gave a single C2 substituted product (see Scheme 2 and Experimental Section).
5. Competition of [1,2]-R Migration and [1,5]-H shift is Key to Determine the Reaction Pathway. Through DFT calculations, three modes of sp³ C–H activation shown in Schemes 3 and 4 have been investigated. We found the most efficient C–H activation is from intermediate C (vinylidene complex) to D in pathway a with activation free energy of about 5 kcal/mol. The C–H activation via [1,5]-H shift from H to I in pathway c usually requires activation free energies of

Figure 8. DFT computed energy surface of pathway c for the PtCl₂-catalyzed cycloaddition of 1-isopropyl-2-(prop-1-ynyl)benzene 4-CH₃.

Figure 9. DFT optimized key structures for the PtCl₂-catalyzed cycloaddition of 1-isopropyl-2-(prop-1-ynyl)benzene 4-CH₃ (distances in Å).

Scheme 10. Competing Pathways of PtCl₂-Catalyzed Cyclization of o-Isopropyl Substituted Aryl Alkynes and How Substituents Affect the Regiochemistry.
about 17−20 kcal/mol. The most difficult C−H activation is through [1,4]-H shift from endo vinyl cation in pathway b, with activation free energies of about 25−30 kcal/mol. Due to these reasons, pathway b is not operative for the C−H activation. Because C−H activations in pathways a and c are easy, the competition between pathways a and c is determined by the [1,2]-R migration step and [1,5]-H shift. The results shows that easier [1,2]-migration (when R = H and Br) favors pathway a while difficult migration (when R = alkyl group) prefers pathway c. When R = aryl group, pathways a and c have very close activation energies due to the competitive [1,2]-Ar migration and [1,5]-H shift, and consequently two products can be obtained (Scheme 10). This prediction was supported by new experiments.

■ CONCLUSIONS

We scrutinized computationally and (in some cases) experimentally the mechanisms of platinum(II)-catalyzed intramolecular cyclization of ortho-substituted aryl alkenes involving a key step of sp3 C−H bond activation. We evaluated the feasibility of three pathways proposed by Chatani, He, and us for four different substrates with the different R substituents (R = H, Br, Ph, and Me) in the alkylne part. Calculations found that for substrates with R = H or Br, these reactions favor pathway a to undergo [1,2]-H and [1,2]-Br migrations from the endo vinyl cations, generating Ph−vinyldiene complexes. Then facile [1,5]-H shifts and 4π-electrocyclization (in two-step or one-step) furnish the indene products. H and Br atoms in this pathway migrate from the original terminal position of the alkylne to the C3 position of the indenes. For substrate with R = Me, the reaction reacts through pathway c, starting from irreversible [1,5]-H shift from exo vinyl cation species. The competing pathway a via [1,2]-methyl migration is very difficult and cannot take place. Consequently, the alkyl group is still at the C2 position of the product indenes. For substrates with R = Ar, DFT calculations indicated that both pathways a and c can take place, leading to two indene products. Major product with Ph at C2 position was obtained through pathway c, and the minor product with Ph at C3 position was obtained through pathway a. The prediction was further supported by the new experiments. The in-depth understanding of the present three C−H activation modes will be helpful for the future design of new C−H activation reactions.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Toluene was dried over Na before use. Reaction temperatures refer to the external temperature or to the temperature of the bath in which the reaction vessel was partially immersed. Data for 1H NMR (400 MHz) spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift (ppm), referenced to TMS; s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, m = multiplet, coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Data for 13C NMR (100 MHz) are reported in terms of chemical shift (ppm) relative to residual solvent peak (CDCl3: 77.0 ppm). Infrared spectra are reported in wavenumbers (cm−1). HRMS were performed under ESI ionization technique using FT-ICR analyzer. PE = petroleum ether, EA = ethyl acetate.

1-(4-tert-Butylphenylethynyl)-2-isopropylbenzene (3-BuC6H4). To a stirred mixture of 1-iodo-2-isopropylbenzene (493.4 mg, 2.00 mmol), PdCl2(PPh3)2 (70.2 mg, 0.10 mmol), and Cul (381.8 mg, 0.20 mmol) in MeCN (3 mL), 4-tert-butylphenylacetylene (348.1 mg, 2.20 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight. On completion, the mixture was passed through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated in a vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluted with PE) to afford the product as a white solid (532.3 mg, 95%). Rf = 0.45 (PE, mp: 50−52 °C). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.60−7.55 (m, 3H), 7.47−7.44 (m, 2H), 7.38−7.34 (m, 2H), 7.26−7.21 (m, 1H), 3.71−3.60 (m, 1H), 1.41−1.39 (m, 15H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 151.5, 150.5, 132.3, 131.3, 128.6, 125.6, 125.4, 125.0, 122.3, 120.7, 93.3, 87.7, 34.8, 31.8, 31.3, 23.2. IR (neat): 2969, 1516, 1482, 1468, 1367, 1270 cm−1. HRMS (ESI): calcd for C33H26 (m + H)+: 277.1951; found, 277.1958.

General Cyclization Procedure Using PtcI2 as Catalyst (Schemes 2 and 9). PtcI2 (10 mol% to the substrate) was charged in a base-washed, oven-dried Schlenk flask under an atmosphere of nitrogen, and then a solution of the alkynne substrate in dried toluene was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 36 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography with silica gel to afford the mixture of cycloadducts.

1-Isopropyl-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene (3-C6H4Ph) (23.0 mg, 0.10 mmol), PtCl2 (3.0 mg, 0.011 mmol), toluene (1.5 mL), eluent: PE, total yield of cycloadducts (10.0 mg, 43%), ratio of 3P-C6H4Ph/3P-C6H4Me = 3.0:1 (determined by 1H NMR).35

1-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)ethynyl)-2-isopropylbenzene (3-BuC6H4Ph) (29.3 mg, 0.12 mmol), PtCl2 (3.7 mg, 0.014 mmol), toluene (1.5 mL), eluent: PE, total yield of cycloadducts (18.4 mg, 65%), ratio of 3P-BuC6H4Ph/3P-BuC6H4Me = 2.6:1 (determined by 1H NMR).

1-Isopropyl-2-(4-methylphenylethynyl) benzene (3-MeOC6H4Ph) (31.4 mg, 0.13 mmol), PtCl2 (3.5 mg, 0.013 mmol), toluene (1.3 mL), eluent: PE/PE = 100:1, total yield of cycloadducts (22.0 mg, 70%), ratio of 3P-MeOC6H4Ph/3P-MeOC6H4Me = 1.9:1 (determined by 1H NMR).

1-Isopropyl-2-(4-propynylbut-1-ynyl)benzene (3-CH2CH2CH2Ph) (40.6 mg, 0.16 mmol), PtCl2 (4.3 mg, 0.016 mmol), toluene (3.0 mL), eluent: PE, conversion 71%, yield of 4P-CH2CH2Ph = (6.4 mg, brsm 22%). This reaction is not clean because we obtained a mixture of substrate and product and the yield of product was determined by 1H NMR from the isolated mixture of substrate and product. In He’s experiments using both PtCl2 and CuBr, the reaction yield was 71%.7

General Cyclization Procedure using PtcI2 as Catalyst with Additive CucBr (He’s Original Procedure). PtcI2 (10 mol% to the substrate) and CuBr (2 equiv to the substrate) were charged in a base-washed, oven-dried Schlenk flask under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Then a solution of the alkynne substrate in dried toluene was added. The solution was then stirred at 120 °C for 36 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography with silica gel to give the cycloaddition products.

1-Isopropyl-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene (3-C6H4Ph) (23.0 mg, 0.10 mmol), PtCl2 (3.0 mg, 0.011 mmol), CuBr (28 mg, 0.20 mmol), toluene (1.5 mL), eluent: PE, total yield of cycloadducts (17.7 mg, 77%), ratio of 3P-C6H4Ph/3P-C6H4Me = 3.0:1 (determined by 1H NMR).

1-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)ethynyl)-2-isopropylbenzene (3-BuC6H4Ph) (31.8 mg, 0.12 mmol), PtCl2 (3.7 mg, 0.014 mmol), CuBr (40.2 mg, 0.28 mmol), toluene (1.5 mL), eluent: PE, total yield of cycloadducts (27.4 mg, 86%), ratio of 3P-BuC6H4Ph/3P-BuC6H4Me = 2.5:1 (determined by 1H NMR).

1-Isopropyl-2-(4-methylphenylethynyl) benzene (3-MeOC6H4Ph) (31.4 mg, 0.13 mmol), PtCl2 (3.5 mg, 0.013 mmol), CuBr (34.4 mg, 0.24 mmol), toluene (1.3 mL), eluent: PE/PE = 100:1, total yield of cycloadducts (25.7 mg, 88%), ratio of 3P-MeOC6H4Ph/3P-MeOC6H4Me = 1.8:1 (determined by 1H NMR).

Test of Whether Additive of D2O or CD3OD Could Catalyze the [1,2]-H Shift in the PtCl2 Catalyzed Cyclization. Similar to the general cyclization procedure using PtcI2 as catalyst except for 5 equiv of D2O or CD3OD was added to the Schlenk flask before heating. For D2O: 1-Isopropyl-2-(4-methylphenylethylnyl) benzene (3-MeOC6H4Ph) (27.8 mg, 0.10 mmol), PtCl2 (2.7 mg, 0.010 mmol), toluene (1.0 mL), D2O (10.0 mg, 0.50 mmol), eluent: PE/PE = 100:1, conversion 65%, total yield of cycloadducts (15.5 mg, brsm 86%), ratio of 3P-MeOC6H4Ph/3P-MeOC6H4Me = 1.9:1 (determined by
\(^{1}H\) NMR). For CD\(_{3}\)OD: 1-isopropyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethenylbenzene (3-MeOC\(_{6}\)H\(_{4}\)), 7.27–7.12 (m, 2H), 6.96–6.92 (m, 2H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 1H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 6.10 ppm. \(^{13}C\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_{3}\)): \(\delta\) 158.9, 155.5, 146.7, 142.1, 141.7, 126.3, 126.5, 125.3, 125.1, 120.8, 113.4, 55.3, 38.2, 14.0, 13.1 ppm. HRMS (EI): calced for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{19}\)O\((M+H)^{+}\), 251.1430; found, 251.1431. 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1\(H\)-indene (3-MeOC\(_{6}\)H\(_{4}\))\(_{2}\). Light-yellow oil; \(R_f\) = 0.41 (PE). \(^{1}H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_{3}\)): \(\delta\) 7.55–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.37 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 2H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 1.39 (s, 6H), 1.37 (s, 9H). \(^{13}C\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_{3}\)): \(\delta\) 154.3, 143.9, 141.7, 140.7, 135.8, 128.4, 127.6, 127.4, 126.3, 125.3, 125.2, 121.1, 120.9, 50.7, 34.5, 31.3, 25.2 ppm. IR (neat): 2965, 2864, 2842, 1620, 1512, 1445, 1352, 1028 cm\(^{-1}\). 3-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1\(H\)-indene (3-MeOC\(_{6}\)H\(_{4}\)). \(^{1}H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_{3}\)): \(\delta\) 7.52–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 1.41 (s, 6H). \(^{13}C\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_{3}\)): \(\delta\) 154.3, 143.9, 141.7, 140.7, 135.8, 128.4, 127.6, 127.4, 126.3, 125.3, 125.2, 121.4, 120.5, 50.7, 34.5, 31.3, 25.2 ppm. IR (neat): 2965, 2872, 2864, 2842, 1516, 1471, 1367, 1274, 1117, 1024 cm\(^{-1}\). HRMS (ESI): calced for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{25}\)O (M + H\(^{+}\)), 277.1951; found, 277.1953.
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