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#### Abstract

A metal-free, TfOH ( 1.1 equiv)-mediated formal cycloisomerization of easily prepared allene-alkynylbenzenes to give pyrrolidines and cyclopentanes derivatives was developed. This reaction is initiated by the generation of allylic cation from allene, followed by alkyne's reaction with the allylic cation, to give a vinyl cation, which is finally intercepted by the triflate (TfO) anion. This cycloisomerization can be further tuned to become an acid-mediated intramolecular formal [4+3] cycloaddition by using 10 equiv of TfOH (The excess acid was used to promote the Friedel-Crafts reaction of the acidmediated cycloisomerization products). The present system can also be applied to synthesized F-incorporated products by using $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ as the fluoro source.


## - INTRODUCTION

In 1985, the Trost ${ }^{1}$ group pioneered the Pd-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6 -enynes for the synthesis of cyclopentane derivatives. Since then, many other transition-metalcatalyzed cyclizations of 1,6-enynes have been developed and these reactions are now becoming powerful methods for constructing cyclic molecules using relatively simply prepared linear substrates. Many other leading organic chemists have been further expanding enyne cycloisomerization by trapping the cycloisomerization intermediates using either reductive, oxidative, or nucleophilic reagents to get various products. ${ }^{2}$ It has been known that allenes share many similar reactions with alkenes. In principle, 1,7-allene-ynes can also undergo various cycloisomerizations under different transition-metal catalysis. This has been proved by many pioneering works in this direction ${ }^{3}$ (Scheme 1a). But to our surprise, trapping the intermediates in the cycloisomerization of 1,7-allene-ynes to generate further functionalized molecules had only a few reports (Scheme 1b). ${ }^{4}$ The Bäckvall ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}}$ group has performed excellent work in this field. In their systematic work, Bäckvall and co-workers showed allenynes can undergo oxidative cyclization by using a $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst, and the intermediates can be trapped by different pro-nucleophiles to give various functionalized five-membered rings. Another example was reported by Liu, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ who showed that a cationic gold complexcatalyzed cyclization/hydration of allene-ynes afforded acylcyclopentane derivatives. To the best of our knowledge, all activations of allene-ynes (including the trapping of the in situ generated intermediates) reported previously were catalyzed by transition metals. ${ }^{3 g}$

Here we report a Brønsted acid-mediated ${ }^{5}$ formal cycloisomerization of allene-alkynylbenzenes to give acid-mediated cycloisomerization products (Scheme 1c). The used acids can be trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( TfOH ) or HF equivalents (Here we used $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ ). These reaction features used Brønsted acids to initiate cycloisomerization giving an allylic cation. ${ }^{6}$ Then the in situ generated allylic cation reacts with an alkyne moiety of the substrate to give a vinyl cation. ${ }^{7}$ Trapping the vinyl cations by the counteranion $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$or $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ generates a final cycloisomerization product. ${ }^{8}$ Of the same importance, we found that the acid-mediated cycloisomerization can be carried out in tandem with an acid-catalyzed Friedel-Crafts reaction to give acid-mediated formal [4+3] cycloadducts ${ }^{9}$ (allenes as the three-carbon synthon, while alkynylbenzenes as the four-carbon synthon). This acidmediated $[4+3]$ reaction can be carried out directly from the allene-alkynylbenzene substrates, without the need of isolating the cycloisomerization intermediates when more excess acids were used. For some allene-alkynylbenzene substrates, the reaction cannot stop at the cycloisomerization stage and can directly give the acid-mediated [4+3] cycloadducts. This acidmediated $[4+3]$ reaction of allene-alkynylbenzenes provides an efficient synthesis of seven-membered rings (here 5-7-6 skeletons), ${ }^{10}$ which belong to the challenging medium-ringsized skeletons in organic synthesis. Here we report our developments of these reactions.

[^0]Scheme 1. Cycloisomerizations and [4+3] Reactions of 1,7-Allene-ynes Catalyzed/Mediated by Metal Catalysts/TfOH/ $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ Acid

c) Acid mediated formal cycloisomerizations and $[4+3]$ cycloadditions of allene-alkynylbenzenes: present work


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TfOH-Mediated Formal Cycloisomerization and [4+3] Reactions. These reactions were discovered unexpectedly. When we treated allene-alkynylbenzene 1a with TfOH , the acid-mediated $[4+3]$ cycloadduct 3 a was obtained (Table 1,

Table 1. Optimizations of Reaction Conditions for the Formal [4+3] and Cycloisomerization Reactions Using $\mathrm{TfOH}^{a, b, c}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | solvent | equiv of acid ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $T\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | yield of 3a $[\%]^{c}$ |
| 1 | DCE | 10 | 60 | 94 |
| 2 | DCE | 10 | 40 | 78 |
| 3 | DCE | 6 | 60 | 90 |
| 4 | DCE | 2 | 60 | 81 |
| 5 | THF | 10 | 60 | trace |
| $6^{d}$ | DCE | 1.1 | rt | $84(2 \mathrm{a})^{e}$ |

${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.046 mmol scale of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in 2 mL of solvent. ${ }^{b} 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) of TfOH was added, unless specified. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of isolated product. ${ }^{d} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ reaction time. ${ }^{e} \mathbf{2 a}$ as the product.
entry 1). With the proposed mechanism shown in Scheme 1c, we hypothesized that adding less TfOH could intercept this reaction to get the acid-mediated cycloisomerization intermediate as the reaction product (This was later on truly realized through adding 1.1 equiv of TfOH to the reaction system; see Table 1, entry 6). It is known that the TfO group
can be transformed to various functional groups, and we thought that this product could be used for further synthesis. ${ }^{11}$ Considering that both acid-mediated cycloisomerization and [4+3] cycloaddition products are useful in synthesis, we decided to screen the reaction conditions. We planned to first concentrate our efforts on getting the optimized reaction conditions for the $[4+3]$ reaction, considering that the cycloisomerization could then be achieved by adjusting the once-obtained [4+3] cycloaddition conditions, either by shortening the reaction time, lowering the reaction temperature, or reducing the amount of used Brønsted acid. For operational simplicity, all reactions were operated under open air conditions. Table 1 lists the reaction conditions we screened. We found that treatment of substrate 1a with 10 equiv of TfOH in DCE at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h generated the [4+3] cycloadduct 3a in 94\% yield (Table 1, entry 1).

Decreased yields were obtained when the temperature was decreased to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or TfOH was reduced from 10 equiv to 6 equiv or 2 equiv (entries $2-4$ ). The reaction did not give the desired $[4+3]$ product when it was carried out in THF instead of DCE (entry 5). Therefore, we chose the optimal reaction conditions for the $[4+3]$ as those given in entry 1 of Table 1 ( 10 equiv of TfOH, SuperDry DCE as solvent, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). With the $[4+3]$ reaction conditions in hand, we then tried to isolate the initial cyclization product. As depicted in Table 1, entry 6, 1a can be transformed into 2 a in a yield of $85 \%$ when 1.1 equiv of TfOH was used (The reaction temperature was lowered to room temperature, and the reaction time was shorten to 1 h ).

The reaction scope of both the acid-mediated formal cycloisomerization and $[4+3]$ cycloaddition were then investigated (Tables 2 and 3). Substrates with a weak electron-withdrawing substituent such as a chlorine or bromine atom in the para- or meta-position of the aryl rings gave moderate to good yields for [4+3] cycloaddition and good yields for the cycloisomerization (Table 2, entries 2-5). Substrates with the substitution of a relatively stronger electron-withdrawing group such as a para-ester group or $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group were found less reactive. For example, substrate $\mathbf{1 f}$ with $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ at the para-position of the benzene ring gave cycloisomerization product 2 f in $85 \%$ yield and [4+3] product $3 f$ in $67 \%$ yield (Table 2, entry 6 ). Substrate $1 g$ with a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group at the meta-position of the benzene ring can only give the acid-mediated cycloisomerization product 2 g in $87 \%$ yield. No $[4+3]$ product was obtained from $\mathbf{1 g}$, mainly due to the fact that the aromatic ring in 2 g is electron deficient and is not reactive enough for the required Friedel-Crafts reaction conditions. Entry 9 in Table 2 indicates that the fluorine substitution at the phenyl ring is detrimental because substrate 1i gave moderate yields of both cycloisomerization and [4+3] cycloaddition reactions. Cl-Disubstituted substrate $\mathbf{1 j}$ can undergo both cycloisomerization and $[4+3]$ reaction to give the final products $2 \mathbf{j}$ ( $91 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{3 j}$ ( $82 \%$ ) (Table 2, entry 10 ), respectively. It was expected that substrates possessing an electron-donating group in the benzene ring could be difficult to be stopped at the cycloisomerization step because the followed Friedel-Crafts reaction with the electron-rich aromatic ring could be facile to give the [4+3] adduct directly. This hypothesis was proven to be correct, as demonstrated by the successful $[4+3]$ reactions in Table 3. All efforts to isolate the acid-mediated cycloisomerization products for these substrates failed. It is interesting to note that substrates with electron-donating groups (Table 3) always give higher yields of [4+3] cycloadducts, compared with substrates with electron-

Table 2. Reaction Scopes of Formal Cycloisomerization and [4+3] Cycloaddition Mediated by TfOH ${ }^{a, b, c, d}$


${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.046 mmol scale in 2 mL of SuperDry DCE solvent with TfOH. ${ }^{b}$ For product 3 , about $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.46$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv with respect to substrate) of TfOH was added; for product 2 , about 0.45 mL of TfOH solution ( 0.11 M ) in DCE ( 0.05 mmol $\mathrm{TfOH}, 1.1$ equiv with respect to substrate) was added. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of isolated product was based on an average of two runs. ${ }^{d}$ The determinations of structures of $\mathbf{3 d}, \mathbf{3 e}$, and $\mathbf{3}$; see the experimental part.
withdrawing groups shown in Table 2. For example, substrates $\mathbf{1 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 m}$, which possess a methyl group and a methoxyl group, respectively, can give good to excellent yields of [4+3] adducts (Table 3, entries 1 and 3). Product $3 n$ from substrate 1n with a naphthyl group can also be obtained in $91 \%$ yield (Table 3, entry 4). Substrate 11 with a methoxy group at the para-position of the benzene ring in the allene-alkynylbenzene substrate decomposed under the standard reaction conditions (Table 3, entry 2). We were happy to see that the tether of the allene-ynes can be NsN, which was expected to be more easily removed than TsN , and the corresponding substrate 10 gave $[4+3]$ product in $75 \%$ yield (Table 3, entry 5 ). We were also pleased to observe that substrate $\mathbf{1 p}$ with a carbon tether also gave the $[4+3]$ cycloadduct in $73 \%$ yield (Table 3, entry 6 ). We must emphasize here that adding $4 \AA$ MS was necessary to carry out the corresponding $[4+3]$ reactions for both substrates $\mathbf{1 o}$ and $\mathbf{1 p}$. Adding MS was presumed to scavenge adventitious water and prevent the easily hydrolyzable tethers here.

We also investigated the substitution effects of the allene moiety of allene-alkynylbenzenes in the $[4+3]$ reaction (Table 3 , entries $7-9$ ). Substrate $\mathbf{1 q}$ with a cyclohexylidene group in the allene produced the corresponding [4+3] product 3 q in a moderate yield of $68 \%$ (Table 3, entry 7). Substrate $\mathbf{1 r}$ with a tetrasubstituted allene can undergo the [4+3] cycloaddition to
give the desired product in $27 \%$ yield (Table 3, entry 8 ). Substrate 1 s with one methyl group in the allene moiety failed to give the desired product, possibly due to the difficulty in generating an allylic cation, which is the first step of the [4+3] reaction (Table 3, entry 9). According to the reported literature, all acid-mediated allylic cation generation from allene requires two methyl groups. ${ }^{7 a}$ The aryl moiety in allenealkynylbenzenes is required because substrate $1 \mathbf{t}$ without the benzene ring was also not appropriate for the present reactions (Table 3, entry 10 ).
$\mathrm{HBF}_{4} / \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$-Mediated Formal Cycloisomerization and $[4+3]$ Cycloaddition. With the above results, we also tested several other acids such as acetic acid, trifluoroacetic acid, and benzoic acid, but all efforts did not succeed to give the desired compounds. Considering that vinyl fluorides ${ }^{12}$ are useful in biology (such as enzyme inhibition mimics) ${ }^{13}$ and materials science (such as fluorinated PPVs and PPEs), ${ }^{14}$ and used as organic synthetic building blocks, ${ }^{15}$ we decided to test whether these reactions can give their fluorinated counterparts if fluorine reagents were used.

We screened pyridine/HF, triethylamine/HF complexes, and fluoroboric acid to examine the reactions using allenealkynylbenzene $\mathbf{1 a}$. We found that, under similar conditions as those used for TfOH -mediated processes, the $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$-mediated

Table 3. Further Study of the Reaction Scope of Formal [4+3] Cycloaddition Mediated by TfOH ${ }^{a, b, c, d}$
(
${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.046 mmol scale in 2 mL of SuperDry DCE solvent. ${ }^{b} 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TfOH $(0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv with respect to substrate) was added. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of isolated product was based on an average of two runs. ${ }^{d}$ The determinations of structures of $\mathbf{3 k}, 3 \mathbf{m}$, and $\mathbf{3 n}$; see the experimental part.
reaction gave $[4+3]$ product $\mathbf{5 a}$ in $91 \%$ yield (Table 4). $\mathbf{5 a}$ was further confirmed by X-ray analysis (Supporting Information). When we screened the scope of the $[4+3]$ reaction, we found that many substrates (details in the experimental part), which were suitable for the TfOH -mediated [4+3] reactions, did not give the desired product, except those substrates shown in Table 4. We could not find the rules to explain the success/ failure of these $[4+3]$ reactions. We reasoned that, for the failed substrates, either the trapping of intermediate B (which was not reactive) in Scheme 1 by the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$anion did not take place or their Friedel-Crafts reactions were sluggish. The latter hypothesis was supported by the experiments; these substrates in Table 5 indeed afforded cycloisomerization products using $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$, but no [4+3] reaction occured when even more $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ was used. Product $\mathbf{4 b}$ was further confirmed by X-ray analysis. (See the Supporting Information.)

We did not observe the formation of cycloisomerization product 4 a using $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ when the amount of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ was reduced or the other reaction conditions were changed. The commercially available $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a viscous liquid with an approximate concentration of $50 \%$ to $55 \%$; the HF concentration could not be measured accurately. We speculated that using an easily weighted HF equivalent reagent
could control the amount of HF and could then stop the reaction at the cycloisomerization step. It was reported that solid $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ can deliver $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ by moisture. ${ }^{16}$ We speculated that using the easily weighted solid $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ could give the exact $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ equivalents to generate the desired cycloisomerization product. Fortunately, cycloisomerizations could be realized using solid $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ as masked $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$; by using 1.05 equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ in DCE (no need of using SuperDry solvent) in open air, intermediate $4 \mathbf{a}$ was produced in a high yield of $88 \%$ (Table 6). Ester group-substituted allene-alkynylbenzene 1 h can also give $\mathbf{4 h}$ in $90 \%$ yield. Using less or more than 1.05 equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ gave poor results for substrates in Table 6. We proposed that $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ first reacts with a trace amount of water in DCE to generate $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ quantitatively. Then the quantitative $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ can initiate the cycloisomerization. This is the first example of using $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ as a convenient and high efficient fluorine reagent in fluorination chemistry. We were happy that $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{1 r}$ can also give cycloisomerization products with this new HF equivalent reagent. However, $\mathbf{1 n}$, which is electron rich, only could get trace cycloisomerization product.

Transformation Studies of the Formal Cycloisomerization and [4+3] Reaction Products. Finally, a few

Table 4. Reaction Scope of the Formal [4+3] Reaction Mediated by $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}{ }^{\text {a,b,c, } d}$



$\mathbf{5 n}$ (6 eq. $80 \%$ )

${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.05 mmol scale in 2 mL of DCE solvent. ${ }^{b}$ For $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 k}$, and $\mathbf{5 n}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added; for $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{5 r}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of isolated product was based on an average of two runs. ${ }^{d}$ The determinations of structures of $\mathbf{5 d}, \mathbf{5 k}$, and $\mathbf{5 n}$; see the experimental part.

Table 5. Reaction Scope of Formal Cycloisomerization Mediated by $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}{ }^{a, b, c}$


${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.05 mmol scale in 2 mL of SuperDry DCE solvent. ${ }^{b} 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of isolated product was based on an average of two runs.
synthetic transformations of cycloisomerization and [4+3] products were performed to demonstrate the usefulness of these reactions in synthesis. We found that cycloisomerization product 2 a and $[4+3]$ cycloadduct 3 a can be hydrolyzed to give ketones 6 and $7^{17}$ (reactions 1 and 2, Scheme 2). 3a can undergo the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction ${ }^{18}$ to give product 8 (reaction 3, Scheme 2). All of these reactions gave excellent yields ( $90 \%, 90 \%$, and $93 \%$, respectively).

Table 6. Reaction Scope of Formal [4+3] Cycloisomerization Mediated by $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}{ }^{a, b, c}$


${ }^{a}$ All of the reactions were carried out on a 0.05 mmol scale in 2 mL of anhydrous DCE solvent. ${ }^{b} 1.05$ equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}(0.053 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Yield of isolated product was based on an average of two runs.

Scheme 2. Transformations of Cycloisomerization and [4+3] Reaction Products


We point out that in $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ initiated cycloisomerization, the vinyl cation intermediate can be intercepted by water. We found that, by just adding a drop of water in the reaction of 1a with 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$, hydrated ketones 6 were generated (confirmed by NMR), together with several compounds (not identified). In the previous work of the Liu group, ${ }^{4 b}$ the same transformation needed Au as a catalyst. Very recently, the Zhang ${ }^{7 j}$ group reported a similar process of catching a vinyl cation by water using enyne-ketone as substrates. We did not further investigate this hydration reaction, considering that our TfOH-mediated cycloisomerization products could be easily hydrolyzed to give the same product (reaction 1, Scheme 2).

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a new metal-free, TfOH- or $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} / \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$-mediated formal cycloisomerization of readily available allene-alkynylbenzenes to give pyrrolidines and cyclopentanes derivatives. Many of the cycloisomerization intermediates could undergo further Friedel-Crafts reaction to
give formal $[4+3]$ cycloaddition products containing sevenmembered carbocycles. The use of acid to initiate cycloisomerization and the interception of in situ generated cation by the counteranion of the used acid would inspire further development of metal-free cycloisomerization and cycloaddition chemistry.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium and benzophenone prior to use. 1,2-Dichloroethane (SuperDry, with molecular sieves) was commercially available and used without further purification, unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101,126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectra were recorded using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. HRMS were performed under the ESI ionization technique using a FT-ICR analyzer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are reported relative to the residual solvent peak $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ 77.0 ppm ). The following abbreviations are defined as $\mathrm{DCE}=1,2-$ dichloroethane, $\mathrm{DCM}=$ dichloromethane, DIAD $=$ diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, EA = ethyl acetate, MS = molecular sieves, $\mathrm{Ns}=$ $o$-nitrobenzenesulfonyl, $\mathrm{PE}=$ petroleum ether, $\mathrm{THF}=$ tetrahydrofuran, TLC $=$ thin layer chromatography, $\mathrm{Ts}=p$-toluenesulfonyl.

General Procedure A: Synthesis of Allene-alkynylbenzene Substrates 1. To a solution of benzenesulfonamide ( 1 equiv) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (2 equiv) in THF ( 5 mL ) at room temperature was added alleneol (1 equiv), and the resulting solution was cooled with an icewater bath and stirred for 10 min . Then DIAD ( 2 equiv) was added slowly, and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 h . The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and then was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=20: 1$ ) to afford allene-alkynylbenzenes 1. A general scheme for these syntheses is given in the Supporting Information.

Allene-alkynylbenzenes substrates $\mathbf{1 a},{ }^{19 g} \mathbf{1},{ }^{19 \mathrm{i}} \mathbf{1 m},{ }^{19 \mathrm{~g}} \mathbf{1 n},{ }^{19 \mathrm{~g}} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{p},{ }^{19 g}$ $\mathbf{1 s},{ }^{19 \mathrm{~h}}$ and $1 \mathbf{t}^{191}$ were synthesized according to the literature.

N-(3-(4-Chlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl$3 \lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1b). Following the general procedure above, N -(3-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide (S2) ( $141.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(230.9$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4 -methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $43.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol ), and DIAD ( $178.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{1 b}(149.8 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ : white solid, mp $=84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.64$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.0,143.4,136.0,134.3,132.6,129.5,128.4,127.8,120.8,97.0$, 84.2, 83.7, 82.9, 46.9, 36.4, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 400.1133$, found 400.1132 .

N-(3-(4-Bromophenyl) prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl$3 \lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1c). Following the general procedure above, N -(3-(4-bromophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide (S3) ( $105.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(151.6$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4 -methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $28.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29$ mmol), and DIAD ( $116.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $1 \mathrm{lc}(106.7 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ : white solid, mp $=85-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.47(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 10: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 204.0, 143.4, 136.1, 132.9, 131.4, 129.5, 127.8, 122.5, 121.3, 97.0, 84.3, 83.8, 83.1, 47.0, 36.5, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 444.0627$, found 444.0625.

N-(3-(3-Chlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (S4). $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ mmol ), and 4-methyl- N -(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide ( 1.19 g , 5 mmol ) were added to a solution of 1 -chloro-3-iodobenzene ( 0.95 g , $4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) at rt , and the resulting solution was cooled with an ice-water bath. Then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the
mixture slowly, and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at rt . The reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with ether three times. The combined organic phase was successively washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=5: 1$ ) to afford $\mathbf{S 4}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-$ $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.9,136.8,133.9,131.4,129.7,129.6,129.4,128.8$, 127.5, 123.7, 84.5, 83.3, 33.5, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 320.0507$, found 320.0511.
N-(3-(3-Chlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl$3 \lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1d). Following the general procedure above, N -(3-(3-chlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide (S4) ( $932.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.69$ g, 6.45 mmol ), 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $287.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93$ mmol ), and DIAD ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 6.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allenealkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{1 d}(968.9 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=74-$ $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TLC} R_{f}=0.64(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.76 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (dd, $J=10.7,5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 204.0, 143.6, 135.9, 133.9, 131.4, 129.5, 129.5, 129.3, 128.6, 127.8, 124.0, 97.1, 84.0, 83.7, 83.0, 47.0, 36.4, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 400.1133$, found 400.1136.

N -(3-(3-Bromophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl$3 \lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1e). Following the general procedure above, N -(3-(3-bromophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide (S5) ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(162.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31$ mmol ), and DIAD ( $125.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $1 \mathrm{e}(117.1 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ : white solid, mp $=80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.64(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-$ $4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.0$, 143.6, 135.9, 134.3, 131.4, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 127.8, 124.3, 121.8, 97.1, 83.9, 83.7, 83.2, 47.0, 36.4, 21.5, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$444.0627, found 444.0639 .

4-Methyl- N -(4-methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-N-(3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1f). Following the general procedure above, 4-methyl-N-(3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S6) ( 106.2 mg , ca. 0.30 mmol ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, $4-$ methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIAD $(121.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product lf ( $114.6 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ): white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=83-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=$ 0.62 (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77$ (d, $J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.0,143.5,136.0,131.7,130.2,129.9,129.5,127.8,126.2$ (d, $J=2.02$ ), $125.0(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8), 97.1,84.5,84.0,83.7,47.0,36.4,21.4$, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$434.1396, found 434.1386.

4-Methyl-N-(4-methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-N-(3-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1g). Following the general procedure above, 4-methyl-N-(3-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S7) ( $105.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, $4-$ methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIAD ( $121.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{l g}(111.5 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%)$ : colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.53$ (PE/EA, 10:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
4.95-4.89 (m, 1H), $4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.0$, 143.4, 136.0, 132.8, 131.3, 129.5, 127.8, 122.5, 121.3, 97.0, 84.3, 83.7, 83.0, 46.9, 36.4, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$434.1396, found 434.1401 .

Ethyl 4-(3-((4-Methyl-N-(4-methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-phenyl)sulfonamido)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzoate (1h). Following the general procedure above, ethyl 4-(3-((4-methylphenyl)sulfonamido)-prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzoate (S8) $(106.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) (29.4 mg, 0.30 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and DIAD ( $121.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{1 h}(106.6 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ : yellow solid, mp $=80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.51$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(101$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 204.0,165.9,143.5,135.9,131.3,129.9,129.5,129.2$, 127.8, 126.9, 97.0, 84.8, 84.7, 83.7, 61.2, 47.0, 36.4, 21.4, 20.3, 14.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 438.1734$, found 438.1734.
$N$-(3-(4-Fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$ -penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1i). Following the general procedure above, N -(3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (S9) ( $93.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $157.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol ), 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIAD ( $121.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allenealkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{1 i}(95.6 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=83-84$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.63(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 204.0,162.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=251.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.3,136.1,133.3$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.5,127.8,118.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5$ Hz ), 97.0, 84.3, 83.8, 81.5, 46.9, 36.4, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 384.1428$, found 384.1424.

N-(3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(4-methyl$3 \lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1j). Following the general procedure above, $N$-(3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (S10) ( $120.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $(178.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) (33.3 mg, $0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DIAD ( $137.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $\mathbf{1 j}(128.3 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=$ $84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.59(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 204.0, 143.6, 136.0, 133.2, 132.8, 132.3, 130.5, 130.2, 129.5, 127.8, 122.3, 97.1, 84.0, 83.7, 83.1, 47.0, 36.3, 21.4, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$434.0743, found 434.0743.

4-Methyl- $N$-(4-methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-N-(3-(m-tolyl)-prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1k). Following the general procedure above, 4-methyl-N-(3-(m-tolyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S11) ( $92.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.60 mmol ), 4-methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) (29.4 mg, 0.30 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and DIAD ( $121.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $1 \mathrm{k}(93.6 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=$ 90-91 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.57$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-$ $7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.0,143.3,137.7,135.1$, 132.0, 129.5, 129.1, 128.5, 128.0, 127.8, 122.2, 97.0, 85.5, 83.8, 81.3, 46.8, 36.5, 21.4, 21.2, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ([M $\left.+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 380.1679$, found 380.1682 .
$N$-(4-Methyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2-nitro-N-(3-phenylprop-$2-y n-1-y l)$ benzenesulfonamide (10). Following the general procedure above, 2-nitro- N -(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S12) $(96.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$ -
methylpenta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S13) ( $29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIAD $(121.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were converted to the allene-alkynylbenzenes product $1 \mathrm{o}(87.3 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ : colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.34$ (PE/EA, $5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.10-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta$ 204.3, 148.7, 134.1, 133.4, 132.1, 132.0, 131.1, 129.0, 128.7, 124.5, 122.6, 98.1, 85.7, 84.1, 82.6, 47.6, 37.1, 20.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$397.1217, found 397.1209.
$N$-(3-Cyclohexylidene-3 $\lambda^{5}$-allyl)-4-methyl- $N$-(3-phenylprop-2-yn1 -yl)benzenesulfonamide (1q). Following the general procedure above, 4-methyl-N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S1) ( $87.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-cyclohexylideneprop-2-en-1-ol (S14) ( $41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIAD ( $121.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were converted to the allenealkynylbenzenes product $1 \mathbf{1 q}(103.1 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=$ 97-99 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.66$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.8,143.4,136.0,131.4,129.5,128.2$, 128.0, 127.7, 122.4, 104.2, 85.4, 83.5, 81.7, 47.0, 36.3, 31.2, 27.1, 25.9, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$406.1835, found 406.1831.
$N$-(2,4-Dimethyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-(3-phenyl-prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (1r). To a solution of ethyl 2,4-dimethyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dienoate ( $82.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added DCM ( 5 mL ) and DIBAL-H $\left(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in hexane) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Then the reaction was quenched with water and filtered with a silica gel pad to afford crude 2,4-dimethyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-penta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S15) as a yellow oil, which was directly used for the next step. To 2,4 -dimethyl- $3 \lambda^{5}$ -penta-2,3-dien-1-ol (S15) was added THF ( 5 mL ), and the resulting solution was cooled with an ice-water bath. Then $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(277.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4 -methyl- $N$-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S1) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . Then DIAD ( $214.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly, and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at rt . The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=50: 1$ to $10: 1$ ) to afford $\mathbf{1 r}(147.8 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ for two steps): white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=$ $119-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.69(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.5,143.3,136.1,131.4$, 129.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 122.4, 95.3, 91.3, 85.3, 81.7, 51.1, 36.2, 21.4, 20.6, 16.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 380.1679, found 380.1670 .

General Procedure B: TfOH-Mediated Formal Cycloisomerization. To 5 mL of SuperDry DCE was added $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TfOH ( 0.57 mmol ) to form a TfOH solution ( 0.11 M in DCE). A solution of substrate $\mathbf{1}(0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ in SuperDry DCE $(1.55 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a reaction bottle was cooled in an ice bath. Then 0.45 mL of the TfOH solution ( 0.05 mmol TfOH ) was added. After that, the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. Then the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products 2 . We point out here that running flash column chromatography to get the products should be fast, especially for $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$; otherwise, some of these compounds isomerized to their $[4+3]$ products.
(Z)-(4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-ylidene)(phenyl)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2a). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 1 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 16.9 mg of 1 a was converted to 19.8 mg of $\mathbf{2 a}$, yield $83 \%$. Run 2: 16.5 mg of 1 a was converted to 20.3 mg of 2 a , yield $87 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $85 \%$. 2a: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.49$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.1$, 141.1, 134.6, 134.5, 132.3, 131.3, 130.0, 129.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.9, $121.9,118.0(\mathrm{q}, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.7,50.1,40.4,25.3,21.6,17.6$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$538.0940, found 538.0937.
(Z)-(4-Chlorophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrroli-din-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2b). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 18.3 mg of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 19.9 mg of $\mathbf{2 b}$, yield $79 \%$. Run $2: 18.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 21.0 mg of $\mathbf{2 b}$, yield $82 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $81 \%$. $\mathbf{2 b}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}$ $=0.51(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 144.2,139.8,136.2,135.6,134.8,132.3,130.1,129.9,129.8,128.5$, $127.9,121.8,118.0(q, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.7,50.1,40.4,25.3,21.6,17.7$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$550.0731, found 550.0728 .
(Z)-(4-Bromophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrroli-din-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2c). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/ EA 20:1. Run $1: 19.9 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 c was converted to 23.4 mg of 2 c , yield $88 \%$. Run 2: 20.4 mg of 1 c was converted to 22.9 mg of 2 c , yield $84 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $86 \%$. 2 c : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}$ $=0.53(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.2,139.8,135.7$, 134.9, 132.3, 131.4, 130.29, 130.25, 129.9, 127.9, 124.5, 121.8, 118.0 $(\mathrm{q}, J=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.9,50.1,40.4,25.3,21.6,17.7$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 594.0226$, found 594.0244.
(Z)-(3-Chlorophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrroli-din-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2d). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 19.0 mg of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 21.9 mg of $\mathbf{2 d}$, yield $84 \%$. Run 2: 18.7 mg of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 22.1 mg of 2 d , yield $86 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $85 \%$. 2d: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}$ $=0.53(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ (dd, $J=13.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.2,139.3,136.2,135.2,134.1$, 133.0, 132.4, 130.1, 129.9, 129.5, 129.1, 127.9, 126.6, 121.4, 118.1 (q, $J=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 54.7, 50.3, 40.4, 25.3, 21.6, 17.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 550.0731$, found 550.0745 .
(Z)-(3-Bromophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrroli-din-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2e). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 19.6 mg of $\mathbf{1 e}$ was converted to 22.0 mg of 2 e , yield $84 \%$. Run 2: 19.8 mg of $1 \mathbf{e}$ was converted to 21.2 mg of 2 e , yield $80 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $82 \%$. 2 e : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=$ 0.57 (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75$ (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.2$, 139.2, 136.2, 135.3, 133.2, 133.0, 132.4, 132.0, 129.9, 129.7, 127.9, 127.0, 122.0, 121.4, 118.1 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=320.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 54.7, 50.3, 40.4, 25.3, 21.6, 17.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 594.0226, found 594.0226.
(Z)-(4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-ylidene)(4(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2f).

Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run $1: 19.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was converted to 22.4 mg of $\mathbf{2 f}$, yield $85 \%$. Run $2: 20.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was converted to 22.7 mg of $\mathbf{2 f}$, yield $84 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $85 \%$. 2 f : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.52(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (dd, $J=9.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.2,139.2,136.9,135.2,134.9,132.3,131.9(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $32.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.9,129.3,127.9,125.1(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.5(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $272.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.5,118.0(\mathrm{q}, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.6,50.3,40.5,25.1,21.6$, 17.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$584.0995, found 584.0993 .
(Z)-(4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-ylidene)(3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2g). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 20.2 mg of $\mathbf{1 g}$ was converted to 23.9 mg of $\mathbf{2 g}$, yield $88 \%$. Run 2: 19.9 mg of $\mathbf{1 g}$ was converted to 22.8 mg of $\mathbf{2 g}$, yield $85 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $87 \% .2 \mathrm{~g}$ : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.50(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.3,139.1,136.8,135.5,132.4,132.2,131.9,130.8$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=32.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.3,128.9,128.0,126.7(\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.3(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=308.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.8(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.5,118.0(\mathrm{q}, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 54.7, 50.4, 40.4, 25.1, 21.5, 17.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 584.0995$, found 584.0994.

Ethyl (Z)-4-((4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3ylidene)(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)methyl)benzoate (2h). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 10:1. Run 1: 20.4 mg of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 23.3 mg of $\mathbf{2 h}$, yield $85 \%$. Run 2: 20.2 mg of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 22.8 mg of $\mathbf{2 h}$, yield $84 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $85 \%$. 2 h : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.41(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 165.6, 144.2, 139.8, 136.4, 135.5, 135.0, 132.3, 131.6, 129.9, 129.3, 128.6, 127.9, 121.8, 118.0 (q, $J=$ 320.4 Hz ), 61.4, 54.8, 50.2, 40.5, 25.3, 21.6, 17.8, 14.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$610.1152, found 610.1137 .
(Z)-(4-Fluorophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrroli-din-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2i). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 17.1 mg of 1 i was converted to 14.9 mg of $\mathbf{2 i}$, yield $61 \%$. Run 2: 17.2 mg of 1 i was converted to 15.1 mg of $2 \mathbf{i}$, yield $62 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $62 \%$. 2i: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.53$ (PE/ EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $251.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.2,140.0,135.2,134.7,132.2,131.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $129.9,128.0,127.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.8,118.0(\mathrm{q}, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.6,50.1,40.4,25.3,21.6,17.6$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$534.1027, found 534.1032.
(Z)-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyr-rolidin-3-ylidene)methyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (2j). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 3 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 20.3 mg of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ was converted to 24.6 mg of $\mathbf{2 j}$, yield $90 \%$. Run 2: 20.2 mg of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ was converted to 25.0 mg of $\mathbf{2 j}$, yield $92 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $91 \% . \mathbf{2 j}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.44$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.3$, $138.3,136.8,135.5,134.4,132.5,132.4,131.2,130.9,130.3,129.9$, $127.9,127.6,121.4,118.1$ ( $q, J=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 54.7, 50.3, 40.5, 25.3, 21.6, 17.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 584.0341, found 584.0342.

General Procedure C: Formal [4+3] Cycloaddition. To a solution of substrate $1(0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ in SuperDry DCE $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a reaction bottle was added $\mathrm{TfOH}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$. Then the reaction mixture was immersed into a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred. When the reactions finished, the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products 3 . For substrates $\mathbf{1 m}, \mathbf{1 n}$, and $\mathbf{1 q}$, the reactions were conducted at room temperature. For substrates $\mathbf{1 o}$ and $\mathbf{1 p}, 4 \AA$ MS were added to the reaction systems.

Here we want to point out that the determinations of the structures of $3 \mathbf{d}, 3 \mathrm{e}, 3 \mathrm{k}$, and 3 m were based on their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR because their benzene ring hydrogen coupling constants followed the rules of $1,2,4$ not $1,2,3$-trisubstituted benzene rings. ${ }^{19 a-c}$ For 3n, we assigned its structure based on the benzene ring hydrogen coupling patterns with two separate singlet peaks in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 7.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 7.84 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}) .^{19 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}}$ The structure of 3 j was determined based on two singlet peaks at the aromatic region in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19}$

9,9-Dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]-cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3a). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 15 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1 to 5:1. Run 1: 16.5 mg of 1 a was converted to 22.1 mg of 3a, yield $95 \%$. Run 2: 16.8 mg of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was converted to 21.8 mg of 3 a , yield $92 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $94 \%$. 3a: colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.36($ PE/EA, $5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.55 (dd, $J=9.8,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd, $J=9.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-$ $2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.7,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 146.7,144.2,138.7,133.6,132.2,131.1,129.8,129.3$, $127.9,126.8,126.3,123.0,118.3(q, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.1,51.0,50.5$, 39.3, 37.9, 31.5, 31.3, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 538.0940$, found 538.0952 .

7-Chloro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3b). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 18.6 mg of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 18.4 mg of $\mathbf{3 b}$, yield $72 \%$. Run $2: 18.3 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 17.4 mg of $\mathbf{3 b}$, yield $69 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $71 \%$. $3 \mathbf{b}$ : light yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.38(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25 (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.7,144.2,137.7,135.3,134.3$, $132.1,129.9,129.7,128.1,127.9,127.3,126.5,118.2$ ( $q, J=320.3$ Hz ), 54.1, 50.5, 39.3, 38.0, 31.3, 31.1, 29.7, 21.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$550.0731, found 550.0730.

7-Bromo-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3c). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA 15:1 to $5: 1$. Run $1: 20.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 c was converted to 24.5 mg of 3 c , yield $89 \%$. Run 2: 19.5 mg of 1 c was converted to 23.3 mg of 3 c , yield $89 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $89 \%$. 3 c : light yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=127-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.33$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (dd, $J=13.9,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.9,144.2,137.8,134.5,132.2,130.3$, $130.20,129.9,129.5,128.3,127.9,123.8,118.2$ ( $q, J=315.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 54.1, 50.6, 50.5, 39.4, 38.1, 31.4, 31.1, 21.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 594.0226$, found 594.0234.

6-Chloro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3d). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$. Run $1: 18.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 20.0 mg of 3 d , yield $81 \%$. Run $2: 18.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 21.6 mg of 3 d , yield $87 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $84 \%$. 3d: light yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=160-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.36$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90 (dd, $J=14.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.2,144.3,137.2,135.4,132.7,132.4$, $132.2,129.9,129.1,128.4,127.8,126.6,118.3(q, J=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.1$, 50.6, 50.5, 39.3, 37.7, 31.4, 31.3, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 550.0731$, found 550.0743 .

6-Bromo-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3e). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA 15:1 to 5:1. Run 1: 20.4 mg of $\mathbf{1 e}$ was converted to 20.4 mg of 3 e , yield $75 \%$. Run $2: 20.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 e was converted to 21.2 mg of 3 e , yield $77 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $76 \%$. 3 e : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=155-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TLC} R_{f}=0.37(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.63-2.52 (m, 1H), $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.7,144.3,137.1,135.4,133.0,132.2$, $132.1,129.9,129.5,128.6,127.8,120.3,118.2(q, J=320.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.1$, 50.6, 50.4, 39.3, 37.8, 31.3, 31.2, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 594.0226$, found 594.0226.

9,9-Dimethyl-2-tosyl-7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3f). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$. Run $1: 19.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was converted to 17.1 mg of 3 f , yield $65 \%$. Run $2: 20.0 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was converted to 18.6 mg of 3 f , yield $69 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $67 \%$. 3f: yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=107-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{TLC} R_{f}=0.46$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9$, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.7,144.3,137.3,136.2,134.8,132.1,130.8(\mathrm{q}, J=32.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 129.9,127.9,127.2,123.8(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, J=272.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 123.2(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.2(\mathrm{q}, J=320.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.0,50.6,50.6$, 39.4, 38.1, 31.3, 31.1, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 584.0995$, found 584.0990.

Ethyl 9,9-Dimethyl-2-tosyl-4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole-7-carboxylate (3h). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$. Run 1: 19.6 mg of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 17.1 mg of 3 h , yield $65 \%$. Run $2: 20.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 18.7 mg of $\mathbf{3 h}$, yield $68 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $67 \% .3 \mathrm{~h}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.27$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17 (dd, $J=15.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd, $J=10.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ (dd, $J=13.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.0,147.0,144.3,137.9,136.1,135.3,132.2,130.7,129.9$, $128.1,127.9,127.3,126.8,118.3(q, J=321.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.3,54.1,50.7$, 50.5, 39.4, 38.1, 31.4, 31.2, 21.5, 14.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 588.1332$, found 588.1330.

7-Fluoro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3i). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$. Run $1: 17.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 i was converted to 11.7 mg of $3 \mathbf{i}$, yield $48 \%$. Run $2: 17.7 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 i}$ was converted to 12.5 mg of $3 \mathbf{i}$, yield $51 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $49 \%$. $3 \mathbf{i}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.34(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.16 (dd, $J=11.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ (dd, $J=9.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd, $J=7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.8,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 162.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=250.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 150.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.2,137.8$, 133.4, 132.3, 129.9, 129.0 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 127.9, 127.3 (d, $J=3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 118.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=320.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 21.8 Hz ), $54.1,50.7,50.4,39.3,38.1,31.4,31.0,21.6$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 556.0842$, found 556.0846.

6,7-Dichloro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3j). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 27 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$. Run $1: 19.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 j}$ was converted to 22.1 mg of $\mathbf{3 j}$, yield $84 \%$. Run $2: 20.3 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ was converted to 21.8 mg of $3 \mathbf{j}$, yield $80 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $82 \%$. $3 \mathbf{j}$ : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=154-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.33$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd, $J=10.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 146.8, $144.4,136.5,135.9,133.3,132.2,131.0,130.8,129.9,129.2,128.4$, $127.8,118.2(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=320.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.0,50.6,49.8,39.4,37.8,31.2,31.1$, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$ 606.0161, found 606.0147.

6,9,9-Trimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]-cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3k). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 15 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1 to $10: 1$. Run $1: 17.9 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 k}$ was converted to 23.0 mg of 3 k , yield $92 \%$. Run $2: 17.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 k was converted to 23.1 mg of $3 k$, yield $94 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $93 \%$. 3 k : light yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.38($ PE/EA, $5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.73-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.7$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.2,143.8,138.8,135.9,133.4,132.1$, $130.8,130.0,129.8,127.9,127.3,126.8,118.3(\mathrm{q}, J=320.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.2$, 50.7, 50.5, 39.3, 37.5, 31.54, 31.46, 21.5, 20.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 530.1277$, found 530.1270.

6-Methoxy-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3m). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 24 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1 to $10: 1$. Run $1: 17.9 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 m}$ was converted to 19.2 mg of 3 m , yield $78 \%$. Run 2: 18.0 mg of $\mathbf{1 m}$ was converted to 20.4 mg of 3 m , yield $82 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $80 \% .3 \mathrm{~m}$ : colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.42(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8$, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.7,144.2,138.9,138.5$, 134.1, 132.2, 132.0, 129.9, 128.1, 127.9, 118.3 ( $q, J=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 114.9, 112.0, 55.2, 54.2, 50.9, 50.5, 39.4, 37.2, 31.8, 31.6, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 546.1226$, found 546.1229.

11,11-Dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,11,12,12a-hexahydronaphtho-[2',3':4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3n). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 24 h . Eluted with PE/EA $15: 1$ to $5: 1$ Run 1: 18.7 mg of 1 n was converted to 23.2 mg of 3 n , yield $90 \%$. Run 2: 18.5 mg of 1 n was converted to 22.7 mg of 3 n , yield $91 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $91 \%$. 3n: yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=186-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.37(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-$ $2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (dd, $J=13.6,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 144.2,143.1,138.9,133.1,132.8,132.4,131.0,129.9$, $129.7,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.5,127.1,126.7,125.6,118.4$ (d, $J=$ 320.9 Hz ), 54.3, 50.6, 49.4, 39.4, 37.7, 31.60, 31.56, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$566.1277, found 566.1285.

9,9-Dimethyl-2-((2-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (30). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 15 h . Eluted with PE/EA 10:1 to 5:1. Run 1: 18.0 mg of 1 o was converted to 19.4 mg of 3 o , yield $78 \%$. Run $2: 17.2 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 o was converted to 17.0 mg of $3 \mathbf{0}$, yield $72 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $75 \%$. 3o: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.24$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (dd, $J=15.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dd, $J=13.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.4,146.8,138.9,134.0$, $133.4,131.7,131.0,130.9,130.8,129.5,127.0,126.7,126.4,124.2$, $118.3(\mathrm{q}, J=320.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.1,50.3,49.6,39.7,37.9,31.3,31.0$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$564.1080, found 564.1091.

Diethyl 9,9-Dimethyl-4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)-3,9,10,10a-tetrahydrobenzo[f]azulene-2,2(1H)-dicarboxylate (3p). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 15 h . Eluted with PE/EA $100: 1$ to $25: 1$. Run $1: 16.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 p}$ was converted to 16.7 mg of 3 p , yield $70 \%$. Run $2: 16.2 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 p was converted to 17.3 mg of 3 p , yield $75 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $73 \%$. 3 p : colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.73$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.8,170.6,146.7$, $139.5,137.8,131.9,128.6,126.7,126.5,126.1,118.4$ (q, $J=320.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 61.9,61.8,59.6,53.5,41.2,38.8,38.3,38.1,31.8,31.4,14.0,13.9$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 505.1502$, found 505.1498.

N-(3-Cyclohexylidene-3l5-allyl)-4-methyl-N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (3q). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 24 h . Eluted with PE/EA 15:1 to 5:1. Run 1: 18.4 mg of $\mathbf{1 q}$ was converted to 17.4 mg of $3 \mathbf{q}$, yield $69 \%$. Run $2: 18.9$ mg of 1 q was converted to 17.1 mg of 3 q , yield $66 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $68 \%$. 3q: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.53$ (PE/EA, $5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-$ $1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 146.6, 144.1, 138.8, $132.7,132.3,131.9,129.8,129.0,128.0,127.9,126.8,126.0,118.3$ (q,
$J=320.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $54.2,50.2,40.8,39.5,38.8,38.4,29.7,25.9,22.7,22.5$, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$556.1434, found 556.1430.

9,9,10a-Trimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]-cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (3r). Following the general procedure above. Reaction time: 15 h . Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run $1: 17.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 r}$ was converted to 6.9 mg of $3 \mathbf{r}$, yield $28 \%$. Run 2: 17.6 mg of 1 r was converted to 6.4 mg of 3 r , yield $26 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $27 \%$. 3 r : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}$ $=0.53(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.2$, 144.2, 138.6, 137.1, 131.7, 130.7, 129.84, 129.80, 127.9, 127.6, 126.6, $126.0,118.2$ (q, $J=320.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 63.3, 58.2, 51.0, 43.3, 37.6, 32.6, 27.2, 26.9, 21.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$ 552.1097, found 552.1091.

General Procedure D: $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$-Mediated Formal Cycloisomerization. To a solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}$ ( $7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in anhydrous DCE ( 2.0 mL ) in a reaction bottle was added substrate $1(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. Then the reaction mixture was immersed into a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 24 h . Then the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products 4.

General Procedure E: $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$-Mediated Formal Cycloisomerization and [4+3] Cycloaddition. To a solution of substrate $1(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in SuperDry DCE $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a reaction bottle was added $50-55 \%$ $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$. Then the reaction mixture was immersed into a $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 24 h . When TLC analysis (by UV) indicated the disappearance of the starting material, the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products 4 or $\mathbf{5}$. For substrates $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $1 \mathbf{r}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added.

The substrates tested for these two transformations are $\mathbf{1 a - 1 q}$, except $\mathbf{1 e}, \mathbf{1 i}, \mathbf{1 m}$, and $\mathbf{1 p}$. The reactions of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 q}$ gave mixtures.

Here we want to point out that the determinations of the structures of $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{5 k}$ were based on their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR because their benzene ring hydrogen coupling constants followed the patterns of 1,2,4- not 1,2,3trisubstituted benzene rings. ${ }^{19 a-c}$ For $\mathbf{5 n}$, we assigned its structure based on the benzene ring hydrogen coupling pattern with two separate singlet peaks in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}}$
(Z)-3-(Fluoro(phenyl)methylene)-4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1tosylpyrrolidine (4a). Following the general procedure D. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 18.2 mg of 1 a converted to 16.5 mg of 4 a , yield $86 \%$. Run 2: 18.2 mg of 1 a was converted to 17.1 mg of 4 a , yield $89 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $88 \%$. 4a: colorless oil, TLC $R_{f}=$ 0.44 (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74$ (d, $J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (ddd, $J=15.0,2.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (ddd, $J=9.2,4.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.3(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=243.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.8,133.7,132.3,131.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=28.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7,128.9$, $128.0,127.9,126.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,49.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.4,21.5$, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$386.1585, found 386.1596 .
(Z)-3-((4-Chlorophenyl)fluoromethylene)-4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4b). Following the general procedure E . Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 20.3 mg of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 19.4 mg of $\mathbf{4 b}$, yield $91 \%$. Run $2: 20.0 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to 18.5 mg of $\mathbf{4 b}$, yield $88 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $90 \% .4 \mathbf{b}$ : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=114-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (ddd, $J=15.0,2.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (ddd, $J=9.2,4.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.4(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=243.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,134.9,134.2,132.4,129.720,129.717(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.17,128.0,123.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 119.3 (d, $J=19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,49.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 25.4, 21.5, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 420.1195, found 420.1201 .
(Z)-3-((4-Bromophenyl)fluoromethylene)-4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4c). Following the general procedure E . Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 22.1 mg of 1 c was converted to 20.1 mg of $\mathbf{4 c}$, yield $89 \%$. Run 2: 22.4 mg of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was converted to 19.9 mg of $\mathbf{2 a}$, yield $85 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $87 \%$. $4 \mathbf{c}$ : yellow solide, $\mathrm{mp}=119-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (ddd, $J$ $=9.2,4.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.8,134.2$, $132.2,131.1,130.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=28.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7,128.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $128.0,123.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.1,119.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,49.5$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.4,21.5,18.0 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 464.0690$, found 464.0684 .
(Z)-3-((3-Chlorophenyl)fluoromethylene)-4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4d). Following the general procedure D. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 20.0 mg of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 17.6 mg of $\mathbf{4 d}$, yield $84 \%$. Run $2: 21.0 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was converted to 17.8 mg of 4 d , yield $81 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $83 \%$. 4 d : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=113-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.44$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (ddd, $J=9.4,4.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,134.5,133.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.9$ (d, $J=28.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.3, 129.7, 129.2, 128.9, 128.0, 127.3 (d, $J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 124.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 55.4,49.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.4,21.5,18.0$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 420.1195$, found 420.1194.
(Z)-3-(Fluoro(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methylene)-4-(2-methyl-prop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4f). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 21.4 mg of 1f was converted to 20.6 mg of $\mathbf{4 f}$, yield $92 \%$. Run $2: 21.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was converted to 19.9 mg of $\mathbf{4 f}$, yield $88 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $90 \%$. 4f: white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=106-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ TLC $R_{f}=0.53(\mathrm{PE} /$ EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10$ (ddd, $J=15.5,2.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 (ddd, $J=9.2,4.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,134.56(\mathrm{qd}, J=28.7,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 134.5,132.2,130.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=33.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7,128.0,127.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.8(\mathrm{q}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, J=272.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,49.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 4.7 Hz ), 25.3, 21.5, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ([M $\left.+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 454.1458$, found 454.1459 .
(Z)-3-(Fluoro(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methylene)-4-(2-methyl-prop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4g). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run $1: 21.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 g}$ was converted to 20.5 mg of $\mathbf{4 g}$, yield $93 \%$. Run $2: 21.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 g}$ was converted to 20.8 mg of $\mathbf{4 g}$, yield $91 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $92 \% .4 \mathrm{~g}$ : light red solid, $\mathrm{mp}=97-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ TLC $R_{f}=0.46$ (PE/EA, $5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.6$, $5.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,135.2,132.3$, $132.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.4(\mathrm{q}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
129.7, 128.5, 128.0, $125.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.8$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=273.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4$, $49.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.2,21.5,17.8$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$454.1458, found 454.1461.

Ethyl (Z)-4-(Fluoro(4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-ylidene)methyl)benzoate (4h). Following the general procedure D. Eluted with PE/EA 15:1. Run $1: 21.6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 19.9 mg of $\mathbf{4 h}$, yield $88 \%$. Run $2: 21.7 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was converted to 20.9 mg of $\mathbf{4 h}$, yield $92 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $90 \% .4 \mathrm{~h}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.97$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41$ (dd, $J=9.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.2,4.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.9,150.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=242.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,135.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=28.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.4,132.2,130.5,129.7,129.1,128.0,126.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 123.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.2,55.5,49.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.4,21.5,18.0,14.3$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$458.1796, found 458.1792 .
(Z)-3-((3,4-Dichlorophenyl)fluoromethylene)-4-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4j). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run $1: 21.7 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 j}$ was converted to 17.9 mg of $\mathbf{4 j}$, yield $79 \%$. Run $2: 21.7 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ was converted to 17.0 mg of $\mathbf{4 j}$, yield $75 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $77 \%$. $\mathbf{4 j}$ : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.53$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.15 (dd, $J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ (dd, $J=$ $15.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.07 (ddd, $J=15.5,2.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.66-3.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.5,4.8,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=242.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.0$, $134.9,133.0,132.2,131.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.0,129.7,129.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.0,127.9,125.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $120.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,49.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 25.4, 21.5, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 454.0805, found 454.0815 .
(Z)-4-(Fluoro(phenyl)methylene)-3-methyl-3-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine (4r). Following the general procedure D. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 19.1 mg of $1 \mathbf{r}$ was converted to 15.7 mg of $\mathbf{4 r}$, yield $78 \%$. Run 2: 18.8 mg of $\mathbf{1 r}$ was converted to 16.0 mg of $4 \mathbf{r}$, yield $81 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $80 \%$. $4 \mathbf{r}$ : yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.46(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (s, 3H), $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=242.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.7,134.9$, $132.2,131.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=28.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7$, $129.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.1(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.0,127.61,127.60,122.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.9,50.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 43.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 28.1,26.6,21.6,18.8 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 400.1741$, found 400.1738 .

4-Fluoro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (5a). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 18.0 mg of 1 a was converted to 16.9 mg of 5 a , yield $89 \%$. Run 2: 18.2 mg of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was converted to 17.7 mg of 5 a , yield $92 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $91 \%$. 5 a : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=127-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.38(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 6.7, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (ddd, $J=15.1$, $2.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.07-2.96$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6$, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=237.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.3$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.8,132.7,129.7,128.43,128.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $127.8,126.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 120.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.3,49.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 40.2,37.9,37.3$
(d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 32.4, 29.2, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 386.1585$, found 386.1584 .
6-Chloro-4-fluoro-9,9-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (5d). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 21.6 mg of 1d was converted to 17.2 mg of 5 d , yield $76 \%$. Run $2: 21.9 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 d}$ was converted to 18.1 mg of 5 d , yield $79 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $77 \%$. 5 d : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=168-171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.37$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.06 (ddd, $J=15.4,2.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.5,3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (dd, $J=13.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=238.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.9,132.4,132.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 130.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8,128.14,128.10,127.8,125.4$ (d, $J$ $=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.2,49.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.64$, 37.63, $37.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 32.3,29.1,21.5$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 420.1195$, found 420.1201 .

4-Fluoro-6,9,9-trimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo-[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (5k). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run $1: 18.9 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 k}$ was converted to 12.3 mg of 5 k , yield $62 \%$. Run $2: 19.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 k}$ was converted to 11.5 mg of 5 k , yield $57 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $60 \%$. 5 k : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=134-137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.53(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}, 5: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (ddd, $J=15.1,1.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (ddd, $J=15.1$, $2.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.92$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (dd, $J=13.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ (dd, $J=13.6,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 237.6 Hz ), $143.8,143.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.6$, 129.7, 129.1, $128.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.8,126.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $126.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.4,49.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 40.4,37.5,37.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 32.4,29.4,21.5,20.8$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$400.1741, found 400.1737 .

4-Fluoro-11,11-dimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,11,12,12a-hexahydronaphtho[2',3':4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (5n). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 20:1. Run 1: 21.5 mg of $\mathbf{1 n}$ was converted to 23.4 mg of $\mathbf{5 n}$, yield $80 \%$. Run $2: 20.7 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1n was converted to 16.9 mg of $\mathbf{5 n}$, yield $79 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $80 \%$. 5 n : yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=187-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=$ 0.23 (PE/EA, 10:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.33 (ddd, $J=15.1,1.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ (ddd, $J=15.1$, 3.1, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.2,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$, $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=237.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.8$, $143.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.8,131.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8,127.9$, 127.8, 127.4, $127.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.97,126.2,125.7,125.5$, 124.9 (d, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.2,50.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 39.5,37.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 37.6,32.6,28.6,21.5$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 436.1741$, found 436.1738 .

4-Fluoro-9,9,10a-trimethyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (5r). Following the general procedure E. Eluted with PE/EA 25:1. Run 1: 19.3 mg of $\mathbf{1 r}$ was converted to 14.0 mg of $\mathbf{5 r}$, yield $69 \%$. Run $2: 19.5 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 r}$ was converted to 14.7 mg of 5 r , yield $72 \%$. So the average yield of two runs was $71 \%$. 5 r: yellow oil, TLC $R_{f}=0.48$ (PE/EA, 5:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}))^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.7,132.7$, $130.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7,129.0,127.8,127.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $126.3,125.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.1,55.4,49.2$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 41.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 38.0,32.5,28.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 26.6, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 400.1741, found 400.1734 .

Reaction 1, Scheme 2: trans-(4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)(phenyl)methanone (trans-6). To 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added 360.2 mg of NaOH , and then 3 mL of MeOH and 6 mL of 1,6 -dioxane were added to form a $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ solution. Substrate 2a ( $25.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a reaction flask was added to 2 mL of the above prepared $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ solution. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding products $6(17.0 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as diastereomers (6:1, with the trans-6 as the major diastereomer). The two diastereomers can be separated and characterized. The structures of ketones cis- $6{ }^{19 g}$ and trans-6, ${ }^{19 g}$ which were confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, are consistent with those reported previously.

Reaction 2, Scheme 2: 9,9-Dimethyl-2-tosyl-2,3,3a,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrol-4(1H)-one (7). To 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added 360.2 mg of NaOH , and then 3 mL of MeOH and 6 mL of 1,6-dioxane were added to form a $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ solution. Substrate 3a ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a reaction bottle was added to 2 mL of the $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ solution. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products $7(20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as diastereomers (5:1, the ratio of two diastereomers was determined by the peak of 7.79 (major, $\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) and 7.73 (minor, $\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ): white solid, TLC $R_{f}=0.33$ (PE/EA, 5:1). The major diastereomer could be separated partly and characterized: $\mathrm{mp}=216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.2$, 147.9, 143.6, 138.9, 133.1, 130.8, 129.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.9, 126.3, 53.1, 52.6, 47.8, 42.0, 38.6, 37.4, 35.0, 27.8, 21.6. The major diastereomer HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 384.1628, found 384.1626 .

Reaction 3, Scheme 2: 9,9-Dimethyl-4-phenyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,9,10,10a-hexahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrrole (8). 3a ( $29.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in PhH under a glovebox environment. To the solution were added $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0029 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 7 equiv). Then more PhH and EtOH were added so that the final volumes of PhH and EtOH in the reaction were 1.8 and 0.6 mL . The mixture was immersed into an 86 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 24 h . When TLC analysis (by UV) indicated the disappearance of the starting material, the reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford corresponding products $8(23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ : white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=$ $167-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC $R_{f}=0.52$ (PE/EA, $5: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (ddd, $J=12.0,7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.11-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.07-7.03 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 147.2, 143.8, 141.1, 140.8, 136.4, 134.9, 131.7, 130.7, 129.7, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.2, 126.8, 125.7, 125.4, 55.4, 53.6, 52.0, 40.6, 37.2, 32.1, 31.4, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ 444.1992, found 444.1979.
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