Origin and mechanism analysis of asymmetric current fluctuations in single-molecule junctions†
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The measurements of molecular electronic devices usually suffer from serious noise. Although noise hampers the operation of electric circuits in most cases, current fluctuations in single-molecule junctions are essentially related to their intrinsic quantum effects in the process of electron transport. Noise analysis can reveal and understand these processes from the behavior of current fluctuations. Here, in this study we observe and analyze the faint asymmetric current distribution in single-molecule junctions, in which the asymmetric intensity is highly related to the applied biases. The exploration of high-order moments within bias and temperature dependent measurements, in combination with model Hamiltonian calculations, statistically prove that the asymmetric current distribution originates from the inelastic electron tunneling process. Such results demonstrate a potential noise analysis method based on the fine structures of the current distribution rather than the noise power, which has obvious advantages in the investigation of the inelastic electron tunneling process in single-molecule junctions.

Introduction

Molecular electronics, theoretically proposed in 1970s from a donor-σ-acceptor molecular rectifier model and experimentally promoted in the past two decades via different approaches, has been widely accepted as one of the most potential protocols to evolve future electronic devices in the trend of miniaturization and diversification. In recent years, benefited from the mushrooming of nanomaterials with novel physical and chemical properties, the updating of micro-/nano-fabrication techniques, and the accumulation of fundamental explorations, molecular electronic devices have basically realized various functions similar to their bulk counterparts, such as memorizers, rectifiers, switches and transistors, through specific designs. In the meantime, these molecular prototypes could also be utilized as efficient platforms to investigate intriguing quantum behaviors such as Coulomb blockade, negative differential resistance and Kondo effect, which will, in return, support the development of future electronic devices with better performance and new functions.

However, there is still a long way for molecular electronic devices to go from prototype to application. This is partially because current fluctuations, the ubiquitous noise within molecular nanocircuits, is one of the biggest challenges. Except for ordinary fluctuations existing in conducting bulk systems (for instance, thermal noise), charge transport through molecules would be readily influenced by other factors, for example, conformational changes of the conducting molecules, variable molecule-electrode coupling and electro-induced redox processes, thus generating noises highly related to the electronic structure or behavior of the “molecularly” conducting path. Insights into the noise analysis of the single-molecule conducting processes could not only pave the way to revealing the essence of charge transport but also provide valuable information toward extensive applications in the evaluation of vibration modes and even the identification of the number of conduction channels contributing to the transport.

Commonly, the concept of “central moments”, defined as $E[X - E(X)]^n$, is used to describe the distribution of current fluctuations in a molecular device, where $n$ represents the order of the moment. From first to fourth, the moments refer to as the constituent of the mean value, variance, skewness and kurtosis, respectively. However, present researches related to noise analysis of single-molecule devices were almost limited up to the second order. The reasons can be attributed to two aspects: firstly and intrinsically, higher-order moments are much more difficult to be extracted than the lower ones according to the central limit
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theorem; secondly and experimentally, higher-order moments are extremely sensitive to the device stability and will, especially, be affected by the stochastic switching of molecule-electrode interfaces, which frequently occurs in mechanically controlled break junction (MCBJ) and scanning probe microscope (SPM) systems. In fact, it could be tremendously expected to obtain profound knowledge of higher-order moments in single-molecule junctions (SMJs), in which, deservedly, strengthening the molecule–electrode linkage is deemed as a key point.

Here, we utilized covalently connected SMJs based on graphene point contacts to fundamentally realize the observation of asymmetric current fluctuations, thus promoting the exploration of higher-order moments. In our experiments, a linear molecular chain consisting of six para-connected phenyl groups and two amino terminal groups was sandwiched between graphene nanoelectrodes that were fabricated by elaborate dashline lithography to form stable graphene–molecule–graphene SMJs through chemical formation of amido bonds (Fig. 1a). Such a device structure benefits from robust amide contacts and unique interface configurations at the two molecule–electrode interfaces, excluding the interference from molecule–electrode fluctuations in some extent. This endows our platform superiority to establish noise analysis focusing on the physical processes on the sandwiched molecule itself.

Results and discussion

Fig. 1b and c show the $I$–$V$ curves of a representative device measured at scanning steps of 1.6 mV and 20 mV, respectively. In comparison with the conducting performance in the fast scan, the slow scan irritated larger current fluctuations especially at high bias voltages. In addition, we also observed that the current fluctuation was more likely to appear monodirectionally rather than show a symmetric distribution at positive and negative polarities, which has been further verified by subsequent real-time measurements. It should be noted that, in order to explore the asymmetric fluctuation, we also implemented a recurrence average filter ($n = 250$) computation, an operation that could decrease the high-frequency symmetric noise, which protruded the asymmetric factors in the current distribution. Fig. 2 displays the smoothed $I$–$t$ profiles of a representative single-molecule device at different bias voltages and the corresponding distribution histograms. We found that at the zero bias, the electric current as a function of time is composed of two parts: the main baseline in the center and minor excursions at negative and positive polarities, both of which gave rise to a Gaussian-histogram distribution. However, the positive bias voltages would generate irregular-shaped, stochastic, and up-direction fluctuations, resulting in an asymmetric distribution tail in the histogram. Furthermore, the asymmetric extent would be aggravated along with the increase of the bias voltage until a transition voltage, beyond which the asymmetric fluctuation began to submerge into the broadened baseline. Current fluctuations at negative bias voltages shared the same characteristics as that at positive bias voltages except for the opposite excursion direction. Similar phenomena were reproducibly observed on other 4 molecular devices tested within the same conditions (see Fig. S4–S7†).
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**Fig. 1.** (a) Schematic of a SMJ based on graphene nanoelectrodes. (b) Black line: $I$–$V$ curve of a representative device measured with a scan step of 1.6 mV. Red line: the fluctuation-suppressed baseline from the black line, highlighting the asymmetric distribution of the $I$–$V$ curve. Inset: an enlarged view at low bias voltages. (c) $I$–$V$ curve of the device measured with a scan step of 20 mV.
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**Fig. 2.** Smoothed $I$–$t$ profiles of a representative single-molecule device at different bias voltages. The right panel shows the corresponding distribution histograms. The red line is fitted in a Gaussian function according to the steep side of the histogram, highlighting the asymmetric tail.
We proposed the normalized third moment, namely the skewness, to describe the asymmetry of the current distribution, which is commonly defined as the expected value of the cubed z-scores in the current distribution:

\[
\text{Skewness} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^3}{N \sigma^3}
\] (E1)

Here, \(N\), \(x_i\), \(\mu\), and \(\sigma\) represent the array length, current at each data point, the average current and the standard deviation of the current distribution, respectively. According to this formula, the skewness of the current distribution at each bias voltage tested was calculated and plotted in Fig. 3a (red hollow dot), all of which together indicated that the skewness presented extrema at \(-0.45\) V, \(-0.35\) V, \(0.45\) V and \(0.65\) V. In order to exclude other factors, particularly graphene nanoconstrictions whose \(I-V\) characteristics (first moment) and noise behaviours (second moment) were similar to the single-molecule device in Fig. 2 (see Fig. S10†), we established the same measurement. In comparison with SMJs, graphene nanoconstrictions only gave rise to two inconspicuous terraces without obvious extrema under the same test conditions (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, no significant asymmetric current distribution was detected in a carbon film resistor with the conductance value comparable to that of single-molecule devices (Fig. 3c), illustrating that the measured skewness is an inherent character of SMJs rather than the measurement apparatus. It is worth noticing that all odd moments have asymmetric factors, such as \(I-V\) properties and skewness–voltage properties. Although they look similar, their meaning and origin are different.

We found that temperature was an important factor affecting the asymmetric intensity of the current distribution. For example, at the applied bias of 0.3 V, the asymmetric current distribution was gradually suppressed following the decrease of the temperature from 295 K to 80 K (Fig. 4), indicating a positive correlation between the temperature and the observed skewness (Fig. 5a). It should be noted that the effect of temperature would be significant when the bias voltage exceeds the threshold for phonon emission.\(^{37}\) Therefore, such a strong temperature correlation in our investigation implies that the phonon-assisted processes could occur in the electronic transport.

Fig. 5b shows the noise power spectra of SMJs at different bias voltages (from 0.2 V to 0.6 V), in which all the spectra follow a classical \(1/f\) power decay law. However, we found that the skewness still exist even when the \(1/f\) noise was totally reduced from the \(I-t\) profiles, illustrating that the skewed current distribution was controlled by some unknown high-frequency mechanism rather than \(1/f\) noise. One may wonder what information higher-order moments may carry about the interactions within the junction. To solve this question, model Hamiltonian calculations were carried out based on a simple single-level model using the non-equilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) formalism. In our model, the transport behaviour is assumed to be determined by a single molecular level that is positioned at \(e_0\) and symmetrically couples to the two electrodes with the strength \(\Gamma\); inelastic corrections involve a molecular
vibration mode with an energy $\hbar \omega_0$ and the electron–vibration coupling matrix $M_{e-ph}$, and its populations is given by the Bose–Einstein distribution (Fig. 5c). No other approximations like the extended wideband limit were used in order to investigate the general case of a device at room temperature. By using standard manipulation of the generalized Keldysh Green’s function formulation of full counting statistics (FCS), we could calculate any $n^{th}$-order cumulant of the current distribution. Within this framework, the third-order cumulant $\langle \delta I^3 \rangle$ is expressed as the third derivative of the so-called moment generating function $S(\lambda)$ with respect to $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the time-dependent counting field containing any complexity,

$$\langle \delta I^3 \rangle = -\left. \frac{\partial^3}{\partial (\lambda^3)} S(\lambda) \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad (E2)$$

and $S(\lambda)$ is expanded in the generalized Keldysh space. Focusing on the experimentally relevant limit of the low conductance and the weak electron–phonon coupling, we considered only the first-order of the transmission coefficient and the lowest-order perturbation expansion for the electronic self-energy, when dealing with the inelastic corrections to the third-order cumulant due to the electron–phonon coupling. This treatment allowed us to deal with energy integrals only numerically.

We set up the model parameters evaluated from the experimental measurements: we assumed a symmetric weak coupling ($\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = 4.2$ meV) between the electronic energy level and the two leads, and the electronic energy level position $\epsilon_0$ was set to $-0.42$ eV, the vibration energy $\hbar \omega_0$ was $50$ meV and the electron–vibration coupling matrix $M_{e-ph}$ was $1.5$ meV. The temperature was $300$ K. The plot of the skewness–bias relation presented two peaks centered at $0.4$ V and $-0.4$ V, showing a trend similar to the experimental measurements. This result is rather robust: peaks always appeared in the skewness–bias curve when the level position $\epsilon_0$, the electronic couplings $\Gamma_L$, and $\Gamma_R$, the vibration energy $\hbar \omega_0$ and the electron–vibration coupling matrix $M_{e-ph}$ were varied in a wide range (see Fig. S12 and S13†). Admittedly, the peak positions and intensities depend critically on the specific values of these parameters. When compared with the measured results, these two peaks are much narrower. This might be improved when taking into account more different molecular vibration modes and the non-equilibrium local ionic heating effects. It should be mentioned that the peak voltage in the skewness–voltage curve does not correspond to the energy of phonon although the units of both parameters seem similar to some extent. In fact, the peak voltage is determined by various parameters as discussed above.

In contrast, without this inelastic correction the calculated electric current only shows much weaker skew peaks at around $\pm 0.1$ V (the inset in Fig. 5d). Therefore, our simulations demonstrate that the electron–vibration coupling is responsible for the appearance of maxima in the skewness of the current distribution as a function of the applied bias. This theory also explains the origin of multi-peaks observed in the skewness–voltage relationship (Fig. 3a, S11c and S11f†). We think that the multi-peak voltages may be primarily related to different vibration modes of the molecules.

Although graphene nanoconstrictions and molecular junctions showed qualitatively similar $I-V$ characteristics and noise behaviours (Fig. S10†), the skewness of the current distribution was totally different. The asymmetric fluctuation is more obscure in graphene nanoconstriction. We attributed this phenomenon to the low possibility of electron–phonon coupling. For the homojunctions like graphene nanoconstrictions, the vibration modes in the electrodes and the channel are rather similar, which means that electron-induced phonons in the conductive channel are easily dissipated due to the low scattering possibility at the interfaces between the channel and the electrodes. On the contrary, the vibration modes of the electrodes and the molecule mismatch each other in graphene–molecule–graphene heterojunctions, which generates significant interface scattering. As a result, SMJs are more easily to be affected by electron-induced phonons via an inelastic electron tunneling process. It is worthwhile to mention again that the theoretical results demonstrated that the skewness of the current distribution obviously decreased and reached an extremum at the vicinity of $\pm 0.1$ V when the inelastic corrections are not considered in the calculation model (the inset in Fig. 5d). It also shows similarity to the experimental results in graphene nanoconstrictions (Fig. 3b), providing an evidence that few inelastic processes in the homojunctions lead to less asymmetric fluctuations.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we presented an exploration toward higher-order moments in the current distribution analysis of graphene–molecule–graphene SMJs, which could accommodate covalent electrode–molecule interfaces and the feasibility of real-time signal monitoring in a long time. The skewness or third-order moment was applied to describe the asymmetric current fluctuation and its relationship with the bias voltage and...
temperature. Theoretical calculations proved that the asymmetry current distribution mainly originated from the inelastic electron tunneling process. In comparison with the ordinary noise analysis based on the noise power, the third-order moment analysis is particularly attractive because it provides more information about the electrical properties of SMJs, for example the electron-vibration coupling that is hard to be accessed at room temperature, thus showing great promise to improve better understanding of the charge transport mechanism in different types of single-molecule devices.

**Experimental**

1. **Device fabrication**

The single-molecule devices were fabricated on the basis of a “dash-line lithographic” method described in detail elsewhere.\(^1\) Cu foils were immersed into acetic acid for 20 minutes in advance, in order to reduce the oxide layer on the surface. After immersion, Cu foils were flushed by abundant ultrapure water and dried by air. Cu foils were loaded on the quartz substrate in the tube furnace, and then annealed at 1045 °C under H\(_2\) flow at 75 Pa (12 cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\)) for 1 hour. After annealing, 1.1 cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\) of CH\(_4\) was introduced to the system for 20 minutes. Then the furnace was cool down to room temperature. A poly-methyl methacrylate (PMMA) layer was spin-coated on graphene-covered Cu foils, and then the Cu foil was etched by a ferric trichloride solution. The PMMA-graphene layers were transferred to a 300 nm SiO\(_2\)/Si wafer. A resist was rinsed by acetone, the device was soaked into a pyridine solution containing amide terminated hexphenyl molecular chain and a carbodiimide dehydrating agent 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI). The detailed synthesis process is provided in the ESI (Section 1). After 72 hours, the device was taken out from the solution, rinsed with abundant ultrapure isopropanol and acetone, and dried by gentle nitrogen stream (Fig. S1b–S1e and S1g–S1j†). Statistics illustrated that most devices are single-molecule devices (see ESI Section 2†).

2. **Device characterization**

An Agilent 4155C semiconductor characterization system was used to measure the current–voltage (I–V) characteristics of single-molecule devices. The scan range was set from −0.8 V to 0.8 V. Current-time (I–t) trajectories could be obtained by DL 1211 Current Magnifier and Zurich Instrument HF2LI Lock-in Amplifier. The detailed measurement apparatus was shown in Fig. S2.† The applied voltage (V\(_g\)) was set as a constant within each trajectory and V\(_g\) was set from −0.8 V to 0.8 V with a step of 50 mV in different trajectories. For all real-time experiments, the I–t measurement was collected at the rate of 14.4 kHz and last for at least 20 seconds.
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